

Compactifications of a Representation Variety

Benjamin M. S. Martin

February 17, 2000

Abstract

Let F be a finitely generated group and let G be a linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . Let $R(F, G)$ be the variety of representations of F in G . Given a finite set of generators Δ for F , we define a compactification $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ of $R(F, G)$. The compactification is highly dependent on the choice of generators. If F' is another finitely generated group with a finite set of generators Δ' and $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$ is a homomorphism, then there is an induced morphism of varieties $\phi^\#: R(F, G) \rightarrow R(F', G)$. We prove that if $\phi(\Delta' \cup \{1\}) \subset \Delta \cup \{1\}$, then $\phi^\#$ extends to a morphism from $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ to $R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$. We study the morphisms arising in this way from a group extension $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$.

1 Introduction

Let F be a finitely generated group and let G be a linear algebraic group. The set of representations (i.e. abstract group homomorphisms) from F to G is an affine variety called the **representation variety** $R(F, G)$. If G is reductive then G acts on $R(F, G)$ by conjugation, and the quotient variety $C(F, G)$, called the **character variety**, is also affine. These varieties have been the subject of much study (see [8], [2], [6], for example). In this paper we describe a natural way to compactify representation varieties.

A homomorphism $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$ of finitely generated groups gives rise to a morphism $\phi^\#: R(F, G) \rightarrow R(F', G)$, defined by $\phi^\#(\rho) = \rho \circ \phi$. If G is reductive then we also have a morphism $\overline{\phi^\#}$ from $C(F, G)$ to $C(F', G)$ determined by ϕ . The correspondences $F \mapsto R(F, G)$, $\phi \mapsto \phi^\#$ and $F \mapsto C(F, G)$, $\phi \mapsto \overline{\phi^\#}$ are contravariant functors. Maps of the form $\phi^\#$ arise in many settings. In [9], the author showed that the real polarization map of Weitsman [13] may be interpreted using this formalism. Slodowy [12], §II.4 studied the fibres of $i^\#$, where i is the inclusion of a Sylow p -subgroup of a finite group Γ into Γ .

We define a **compactification** of an affine variety V to be a projective variety W that contains V as an open subset. (Usually one requires also that V

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20C99

should be dense in W , but it will be convenient for us to adopt this more general definition. If V is dense then we shall call W a **strict compactification**.) If W_1, W_2 are compactifications of V then we define a morphism (isomorphism) of compactifications to be a morphism (isomorphism) of varieties $\psi: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ that restricts to the identity on V . When W_1, W_2 are strict compactifications, there can exist at most one morphism $\psi: W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ (since any two must agree on the dense open subset V). If V carries some extra structure, such as the action of some group, then W can often be chosen to be compatible with this structure.

Compactifications of representation varieties and character varieties have been constructed before in a slightly different setting. Instead of $R(F, G)$ and $C(F, G)$, one may define real analytic varieties $R(F, H)$ and $C(F, H)$ for H a real reductive Lie group (see [2]). Let Π^g be the fundamental group of a compact orientable surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g may be identified with a subspace of $C(\Pi^g, \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$, and several compactifications of \mathcal{T}_g are known, including the Thurston compactification [5].

Our aim is to construct compactifications of $R(F, G)$ and $C(F, G)$ for arbitrary F that are compatible with the maps $\phi^\#$ and $\phi^\#$. One motivation for this is as follows. Casson's invariant for an integral homology 3-sphere M is defined to be the topological intersection number of a certain pair of subvarieties V_1 and V_2 of $C(\Pi^g, \mathrm{SU}(2))$ (see [1] for details). Each V_i is the image of $p_i^\#$ for some epimorphism p_i from Π^g to a free group of rank g . A natural variation on this idea would be to consider the algebraic intersection number of the analogous pair of subvarieties in a compactification of $C(\Pi^g, G)$, where G is a complex reductive algebraic group.

As a first step, in this paper we construct compactifications of the representation variety $R(F, G)$. Unfortunately, the requirement that $\phi^\#$ extend to a morphism of compactified representation varieties is too strong (see Theorem 5.7); to fulfill it, we are forced to consider not just a single compactification of $R(F, G)$, but one for each finite set $\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ of generators for F . First we choose a suitable compactification \overline{G} of G . The map $\rho \mapsto (\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r))$ gives an embedding of $R(F, G)$ as a closed subset of G^r , and it is well known that the structure of affine variety that $R(F, G)$ inherits is independent of the choice of generating set. Given a generating set Δ for F as above, we define $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ to be roughly the set of functions of the form $\rho: U \rightarrow \overline{G}$, for some U with $\Delta \subset U \subset F$, such that ρ is a homomorphism wherever this makes sense. Then $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ embeds as a closed subvariety of the projective variety \overline{G}^r and $R(F, G)$ is open in $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. Moreover, given groups F, F' with finite generating sets Δ, Δ' respectively and a homomorphism $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(\Delta' \cup \{1\}) \subset \Delta \cup \{1\}$, the morphism $\phi^\#$ extends to a morphism $\Phi^\#$ from $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ to $R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$.

Let

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1$$

be an extension of groups, where F is finitely generated. Then Q is finitely generated and $p^\#: R(Q, G) \rightarrow R(F, G)$ is a closed embedding with image consisting

of the set of representations ρ such that $\rho|_N$ is trivial. We may replace N by a suitable finitely generated subgroup K of N ; for by Lemma 6.1, there exists K such that

$$p^\#(\mathbf{R}(Q, G)) = (j^\#)^{-1}(\rho_0^K),$$

where j is the inclusion of K in F and ρ_0^K denotes the trivial representation of K . We call such a subgroup K an **approximate G -kernel** of p .

We show how to carry this idea over to the case of compactified representation varieties. We define the notion of an approximate \overline{G} -kernel (Definition 6.4), and we prove that approximate \overline{G} -kernels always exist. They are highly dependent on the choice of generating sets for the finitely generated groups concerned.

The author is grateful for the support of the New Zealand Foundation for Science, Research and Technology (Postdoctoral Fellowship ANU601) and the Australian Research Council (Large Grant A69802270, Chief Investigator G. I. Lehrer).

2 Compactifying G

We begin with some notation. The symbol F denotes a finitely generated group. The identity of a group is denoted by 1, or by I if the group is a matrix group. We write F_s for the free group on s generators. The subgroup generated by a subset S of a group is denoted by $\langle S \rangle$. All varieties (including algebraic groups) are defined over a fixed algebraically closed field k , and we allow varieties to be reducible. We set $k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$. If V is a vector space over k with $\dim V < \infty$ then $\mathbb{P}(V)$ denotes the corresponding projective space. We denote the space of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from k by $M_n(k)$.

We fix a linear algebraic group G . For material on representation varieties, see the article [8] of Lubotzky and Magid. Usually in the theory of representation varieties it is assumed that G is connected and reductive, but the basic results and definitions carry over to arbitrary G with simple modifications. However, the quotient variety $\mathbf{C}(F, G)$ is only defined for reductive G .

We choose a strict compactification \overline{G} of G as follows. Pick an embedding α of G as a closed subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(k)$. Such an embedding always exists, for we can find an embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(k)$ for some m , and then follow this with the mapping $\mathrm{GL}_m(k) \xrightarrow{\xi_m} \mathrm{GL}_{m+1}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{m+1}(k)$, where

$$\xi_m(A) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

and the second arrow is the canonical projection. Regard $\mathrm{PGL}_n(k)$ as an open subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(M_n(k))$, and define $\overline{G}(\alpha)$ to be the closure of $\alpha(G)$ in $\mathbb{P}(M_n(k))$. Since α will be fixed, we shall suppress it and write \overline{G} instead of $\overline{G}(\alpha)$, and we shall identify G with the corresponding open subset of \overline{G} .

Notation 2.1 We shall call the identity embedding of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(k)$ into $\mathrm{PGL}_n(k)$ the standard embedding of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(k)$, and the embedding $\mathrm{GL}_n(k) \xrightarrow{\xi_3} \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(k)$, the standard embedding of $\mathrm{GL}_n(k)$.

Given $0 \neq A \in \mathrm{M}_n(k)$, let us denote its image in $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{M}_n(k))$ by $[A]$. Group multiplication on $G \times G$ extends to a morphism from an open subset of $\overline{G} \times \overline{G}$ into \overline{G} : we define $[A][B] = [AB]$ on the set of pairs $([A], [B])$ such that $AB \neq 0$, and we call this morphism **multiplication**. The element $[I]$ is a two-sided identity. The product $[A][B]$ may be defined even when A, B are not invertible matrices. However $[A] \in \overline{G}$ has an inverse in \overline{G} if and only if A is invertible.

Lemma 2.2 *The set O of invertible elements of \overline{G} coincides with G .*

Proof It is clear that O is an algebraic group, with open dense subgroup G . Lemma 7.4 of [4] implies that $G = O$. \square

Multiplication on \overline{G} is associative wherever this makes sense, so we define multiplication on any finite product of copies of \overline{G} in the obvious way. We say that $[A_1] \cdots [A_m]$ is defined if $([A_1], \dots, [A_m])$ is in the domain of the multiplication morphism, that is, if $A_1 \cdots A_m \neq 0$.

More generally, let w be a word in letters $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$. We write 1 for the empty word. Let $\Sigma = ([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$ be an r -tuple of elements of \overline{G} , and let w be a reduced word in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$. We say that $w([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$ or $w(\Sigma)$ is defined if:

- (i) whenever the letter γ_i^{-1} appears in w , $[A_i]$ is invertible; and
- (ii) the element $[B]$ obtained by substituting $[A_i]^{\pm 1}$ for $\gamma_i^{\pm 1}$ in w and then multiplying is defined.

In this case we write $w(\Sigma) = w([A_1], \dots, [A_r]) = [B]$. For an arbitrary word w , we say that $w([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$ or $w(\Sigma)$ is defined and equal to $[B]$ if $\mathcal{R}(w)(\Sigma)$ is defined and equal to $[B]$, where $\mathcal{R}(w)$ is the reduced word obtained from w . (We set $1(\Sigma) = [I]$.)

- Example 2.3** (a) For any i , $(\gamma_i \gamma_i^{-1})(\Sigma) = 1(\Sigma) = [I]$ is defined.
 (b) For any i , $\gamma_i(\Sigma) = [A_i]$ is defined.
 (c) For any i , $\gamma_i^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is defined if and only if $[A_i]^{-1}$ is defined if and only if $[A_i] \in G$.
 (d) If $[A_i]^{-1}$ is not defined then $(\gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_i^{-1})(\Sigma)$ is not defined for $j \neq i$, not even if $[A_j] = [I]$.

Observation 2.4 If w is a word then the set

$$\{([A_1], \dots, [A_r]) \in \overline{G}^r \mid w([A_1], \dots, [A_r]) \text{ is defined}\}$$

is open in \overline{G}^r . Moreover, the function $\Sigma \mapsto w(\Sigma)$ is a morphism from this set to \overline{G} .

Lemma 2.5 *Let $\Sigma = ([A_1], \dots, [A_r]) \in \overline{G}^r$ and let w_1, w_2 be words such that $w_1(\Sigma), w_2(\Sigma)$ and $w_1(\Sigma)w_2(\Sigma)$ are defined. Then $(w_1w_2)(\Sigma)$ is defined and $(w_1w_2)(\Sigma) = w_1(\Sigma)w_2(\Sigma)$.*

Proof Without loss of generality, assume that w_1 and w_2 are reduced. If w_1w_2 is reduced then clearly we are done. Otherwise we can write $w_1 = \tilde{w}_1\gamma_i^{\pm 1}$ and $w_2 = \gamma_i^{\mp 1}\tilde{w}_2$ for some i . Then $[A_i]$ is invertible, $\tilde{w}_1(\Sigma), \tilde{w}_2(\Sigma)$ are defined and we have $w_1(\Sigma) = \tilde{w}_1(\Sigma)[A_i]^{\pm 1}$, $w_2(\Sigma) = [A_i]^{\mp 1}\tilde{w}_2(\Sigma)$, so $w_1(\Sigma)w_2(\Sigma) = \tilde{w}_1(\Sigma)\tilde{w}_2(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{R}(w_1w_2) = \mathcal{R}(\tilde{w}_1\tilde{w}_2)$. The result follows by induction on the lengths of w_1 and w_2 . \square

As a special case, suppose that $w = \gamma_{m_1}^{\eta_1} \dots \gamma_{m_s}^{\eta_s}$ is a word (with each $\eta_i \in \{\pm 1\}$), not necessarily reduced, such that $[A_{m_i}]$ is invertible whenever $\eta_i = -1$ and such that $[A_{m_1}]^{\eta_1} \dots [A_{m_s}]^{\eta_s}$ is defined. Then $w([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$ is defined and $w([A_1], \dots, [A_r]) = [A_{m_1}]^{\eta_1} \dots [A_{m_s}]^{\eta_s}$.

Example 2.6 Let $S = \{\gamma_{m_1}, \dots, \gamma_{m_s}\} \subset \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$. Given a word w in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$, let w_S denote the word in $\gamma_{m_1}, \dots, \gamma_{m_s}$ obtained from w by deleting all letters $\gamma_i^{\pm 1}$ for all $\gamma_i \notin S$. Suppose that $[A_i] = [I]$ for all i such that $\gamma_i \notin S$. It follows from the special case above that if $w([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$ is defined, then $w_S([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$ is also defined and $w([A_1], \dots, [A_r]) = w_S([A_1], \dots, [A_r])$.

3 Marked Groups

As noted in §1, the correspondence $F \mapsto R(F, G)$ is a contravariant functor from the category \mathfrak{FG} of finitely generated groups to the category of affine varieties. We need to keep track of sets of generators as well, so we work with a slightly different category.

Definition 3.1 A **marked group** \mathcal{F} is a pair (F, Δ) , where F is a finitely generated group and Δ is a finite set of generators for F . We call Δ a **marking** for F . If (F, Δ) and (F', Δ') are marked groups then a **marked homomorphism** Φ from (F', Δ') to (F, Δ) is a homomorphism $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(\Delta' \cup \{1\}) \subset \Delta \cup \{1\}$. Define the category \mathfrak{MG} of marked groups to be the category whose objects are the marked groups and whose morphisms are the marked homomorphisms.

Example 3.2 Let $F = F_s$ for some s and let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s$ be free generators for F_s . We call $\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$ the standard marking for F_s .

There is an obvious forgetful functor from \mathfrak{MG} to \mathfrak{FG} .

Notation 3.3 If Φ is a marked homomorphism between marked groups then we denote the underlying homomorphism of finitely generated groups by $|\Phi|$. Although Φ is completely determined by $|\Phi|$, nevertheless the distinction between the two is important — see §5 below.

Remark 3.4 Given a pair of marked groups, there are only finitely many marked homomorphisms between them.

If $F' \subset F$, $\Delta' \subset \Delta$ and $|\Phi|$ is inclusion, then we shall refer to Φ as **inclusion** and we shall call (F', Δ') a **marked subgroup** of (F, Δ) .

Let $\Phi: (F', \Delta') \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$ be a marked homomorphism. We say that Φ is a **marked monomorphism** if the homomorphism $|\Phi|$ is injective. We say that Φ is a **marked epimorphism** if $|\Phi|(\Delta' \cup \{1\}) = \Delta \cup \{1\}$ (this condition of course implies that $|\Phi|$ is an epimorphism). A marked homomorphism is an isomorphism in the category \mathfrak{MG} if and only if it is both a marked monomorphism and a marked epimorphism.

Let (F, Δ) be a marked group and let $N \trianglelefteq F$. Let $Q = F/N$ and let $p: F \rightarrow Q$ be the canonical projection. Then $p(\Delta)$ is a finite generating set for Q and there is a marked epimorphism $P: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (Q, p(\Delta))$ induced by p . However, the inclusion of N in F cannot in general be made into a homomorphism of marked groups, even if N is finitely generated. We could have $\Delta \cap N = \emptyset$, for instance, when the only marked subgroup (K, Θ) of (F, Δ) such that $K \subset N$ would be the trivial marked group $(1, \{1\})$.

4 Admissible Representations

Definition 4.1 Let $\mathcal{F} = (F, \Delta)$ be a marked group, with $\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$. An **admissible representation** of (F, Δ) into \overline{G} is a pair (U, ρ) , where $\Delta \subset U \subset F$ and $\rho: U \rightarrow \overline{G}$ is a function with the following properties:

- (a) for any $\gamma \in F$, $\gamma \in U$ if and only if there is a word w in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ representing γ such that $w(\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r))$ is defined;
- (b) for any $\gamma \in U$, for any word w in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ representing γ such that $w(\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r))$ is defined, we have $\rho(\gamma) = w(\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r))$.

We say that $\rho(\gamma)$ is defined if and only if $\gamma \in U$. We denote the set of admissible representations by $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$.

Remark 4.2 We shall write $w(\rho)$ as shorthand for $w(\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r))$. Note that if $\rho(\gamma)$ is defined, this does not imply that $w(\rho)$ is defined for every word w representing γ , only that $w(\rho)$ is defined for some word w representing γ .

There is a canonical inclusion of $R(F, G)$ in $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$, given by $\rho \mapsto (F, \rho)$. We denote the image of the trivial representation by ρ_0^F .

Lemma 4.3 (a) Let $(U_1, \rho_1), (U_2, \rho_2) \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. If $\rho_1|_\Delta = \rho_2|_\Delta$ then $(U_1, \rho_1) = (U_2, \rho_2)$.

(b) Let $X_1, \dots, X_r \in \overline{G}$. There exists an admissible representation (U, ρ) such that $\rho(\gamma_i) = X_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ if and only if for any words w_1, w_2 in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ such that w_1 and w_2 represent the same element of F and both $w_1(X_1, \dots, X_r)$, $w_2(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ are defined, we have $w_1(X_1, \dots, X_r) = w_2(X_1, \dots, X_r)$.

(c) Let $(U, \rho) \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ and let $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in U$. If $\rho(\gamma)\rho(\tilde{\gamma})$ is defined then $\rho(\gamma\tilde{\gamma})$ is defined and

$$\rho(\gamma\tilde{\gamma}) = \rho(\gamma)\rho(\tilde{\gamma}).$$

(Note, however, that even if $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$ and $\gamma\tilde{\gamma}$ belong to U , it does not necessarily follow that the product $\rho(\gamma)\rho(\tilde{\gamma})$ is defined.)

(d) Let $(U, \rho) \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ and let $\gamma \in F$. Suppose there is a word $w = \gamma_{m_1}^{\eta_1} \dots \gamma_{m_s}^{\eta_s}$ representing γ (with each $\eta_i \in \{\pm 1\}$), not necessarily reduced, such that $\rho(\gamma_{m_i})$ is invertible whenever $\eta_i = -1$ and such that $\rho(\gamma_{m_1})^{\eta_1} \dots \rho(\gamma_{m_s})^{\eta_s}$ is defined. Then $\rho(\gamma)$ is defined and $\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma_{m_1})^{\eta_1} \dots \rho(\gamma_{m_s})^{\eta_s}$.

Proof Parts (a) and (b) follow immediately from Definition 4.1, while (c) is a consequence of Lemma 2.5. Part (d) follows from (c) (compare the discussion following Lemma 2.5). \square

Convention 4.4 In view of Lemma 4.3, we will regard $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ as a subset of \overline{G}^r , and $R(F, G)$ as a subset of $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. Often we will simply write ρ instead of (U, ρ) for an admissible representation.

Remark 4.5 An admissible representation (U, ρ) is completely determined by its values $\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r)$. However, the r -tuple $(\rho(\gamma_1), \dots, \rho(\gamma_r)) \in \overline{G}^r$ does not uniquely determine a subset \tilde{U} of F and a function $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow F$ satisfying the homomorphism property of Lemma 4.3 (c). For example, there may exist $\tilde{U} \supset U$ and $\rho_1, \rho_2: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \overline{G}$ such that ρ_1, ρ_2 satisfy Lemma 4.3 (c) and $\rho_1|_U = \rho_2|_U$, yet $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$ — see Example 5.6 below. That is the motivation for Definition 4.1.

The next result shows that $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ is a compactification of $R(F, G)$.

Proposition 4.6 (a) The subset $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ is closed in \overline{G}^r .
 (b) The subset $R(F, G)$ is open in $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$.

Proof (a) Let (X_1, \dots, X_r) lie in the closure of $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$, and suppose that $(X_1, \dots, X_r) \notin R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. By Lemma 4.3 (b), there exist words w_1, w_2 that represent the same element of F such that $w_1(X_1, \dots, X_r), w_2(X_1, \dots, X_r)$ are defined, but $w_1(X_1, \dots, X_r) \neq w_2(X_1, \dots, X_r)$. Let O be the set of r -tuples $(Y_1, \dots, Y_r) \in \overline{G}^r$ such that $w_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_r), w_2(Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$ are defined, but $w_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_r) \neq w_2(Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$. By Observation 2.4, O is an open neighbourhood of (X_1, \dots, X_r) , hence some element (Y_1, \dots, Y_r) of $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ belongs to O . But then we have $w_1(Y_1, \dots, Y_r) = w_2(Y_1, \dots, Y_r)$ by Definition 4.1 (b), a contradiction. We conclude that $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ is closed.

(b) We have $R(F, G) = R_\Delta(F, \overline{G}) \cap G^r$, and the result follows. \square

Notation 4.7 We denote the closure of $R(F, G)$ inside \overline{G}^r by $R_\Delta^s(F, \overline{G})$. Example 4.8 (c) below shows that this may be a proper subset of $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$.

Example 4.8 (a) Let $F = F_s$ with the standard marking. Then $R(F, G) = G^s$ is dense in \overline{G}^s , so $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G}) = R_\Delta^s(F, \overline{G}) = \overline{G}^s$.
 (b) Let $F = \langle t, n \mid t^3 = n^2 = 1, ntn^{-1} = t^2 \rangle = S_3$ (the symmetric group on three letters), and let $\Delta = \{n, t\}$. Let $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ with the standard embedding. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$. Any reduced word $w = w(n, t)$ such that $w([I], X)$ is defined cannot contain the letter t^{-1} and can contain the letter t at most once. Applying Lemma 4.3 (b), we see that $\rho(n) = [I], \rho(t) = X$ defines an admissible representation $\rho \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$.
 (c) Let $F = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle a, b \mid ab = ba \rangle$ and let $\Delta = \{a, b\}$. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_3(k)$ with the standard embedding. Define $\rho \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ by

$$\rho(a) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad \rho(b) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

— it is straightforward to check that this is well-defined. Now $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ acts on $(M_4(k) \setminus \{0\}) \times (M_4(k) \setminus \{0\})$ by conjugation:

$$X.(A, B) = (\xi_3(X)A\xi_3(X^{-1}), \xi_3(X)B\xi_3(X^{-1})).$$

For $A \in M_4(k)$, let $Z(A)$ denote the centraliser of A in $\xi_3(\mathrm{SL}_3(k))$. By [10], Lemma 3.7, the function $\aleph: M_4(k) \setminus \{0\} \times M_4(k) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ given by $\aleph(A, B) = \dim(Z(A) \cap Z(B))$ is upper semicontinuous. The $\mathrm{SL}_3(k)$ -action commutes with scalar multiplication on each factor, so \aleph descends to a function $\overline{\aleph}: \mathbb{P}(M_4(k)) \times \mathbb{P}(M_4(k)) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and one can show that $\overline{\aleph}$ is also upper semicontinuous.

Assume that k has characteristic zero. Then $R(F, G)$ is irreducible by [11], §2, Proposition 3, so the open subset

$$O = \{\tilde{\rho} \in R(F, G) \mid \tilde{\rho}(a), \tilde{\rho}(b) \text{ are regular semisimple}\}$$

is dense. For $\tilde{\rho} \in O$ we have $\overline{\aleph}(\tilde{\rho}) = 2$, whence $\overline{\aleph}(\tilde{\rho}) \geq 2$ for all $\tilde{\rho} \in R_\Delta^s(F, \overline{G})$. But $\overline{\aleph}(\rho) = 1$ by direct computation, so $\rho \notin R_\Delta^s(F, \overline{G})$. Thus $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ is not a strict compactification of $R(F, G)$.

Let $\gamma \in F$. The set $\{\rho \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G}) \mid \rho(\gamma) \text{ is defined}\}$ is open by Observation 2.4. Define a function ϵ_γ from this open set to \overline{G} by $\epsilon_\gamma(\rho) = \rho(\gamma)$. If $\rho(\gamma)$ is defined then there is a reduced word w in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ such that w represents γ and the function $\tilde{\rho} \mapsto w(\tilde{\rho}(\gamma_1), \dots, \tilde{\rho}(\gamma_r))$ is well-defined and equal to ϵ_γ on an open neighbourhood of ρ . We deduce that ϵ_γ is a morphism of varieties. The morphisms ϵ_{γ_i} are defined everywhere on $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ and the canonical embedding of $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ in \overline{G}^r (see Convention 4.4) is just the product $\epsilon_{\gamma_1} \times \dots \times \epsilon_{\gamma_r}$.

Let $X_1, \dots, X_r \in \overline{G}$. Except for in simple cases, it is impractical to check whether the prescription $\rho(\gamma_i) = X_i$ gives an admissible representation $\rho \in$

$R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ by directly verifying that the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3 (b) hold. An easier way to obtain admissible representations is by taking limits.

Let C be a smooth irreducible quasiprojective curve and let $x_0 \in C$. If $f: C \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow V$ is a morphism of varieties, we say that $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ exists and equals v if f extends to a morphism $f': C \rightarrow V$ such that $f'(x_0) = v$. If a limit exists then it is unique. The limit always exists if V is projective ([3], Chapter I, Proposition 6.8). Thus, given a morphism $C \setminus \{x_0\} \rightarrow R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$, $x \mapsto \rho_x$, the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \rho_x$ also belongs to $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. Moreover, if $\rho_x \in R(F, G)$ for each $x \in C \setminus \{x_0\}$, then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \rho_x \in R_\Delta^s(F, \overline{G})$.

Example 4.9 Let $F, \Delta, G, \overline{G}, \rho$ be as in Example 4.8 (b). Assume that k has characteristic two. For $x \in k^*$, define $\rho_x \in R(F, G)$ by

$$\rho_x(n) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad \rho_x(t) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ x^{-1}(\zeta + \zeta^2) & \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} x\zeta & 0 \\ \zeta + \zeta^2 & x\zeta^2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

where ζ is a primitive cube root of unity. Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x = \rho$, and we deduce that $\rho \in R_\Delta^s(F, \overline{G})$.

Remark 4.10 Let $\rho = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \rho_x$ for some map $x \mapsto \rho_x$ as above. Let $\gamma \in F$. If $\rho_y(\gamma)$ is defined for some $y \in C \setminus \{x_0\}$ then the morphism $x \mapsto \rho_x(\gamma)$ is defined on an open neighbourhood C' of y in $C \setminus \{x_0\}$, and we can form the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \rho_x(\gamma)$. In particular, if $\rho(\gamma)$ is defined then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \rho_x(\gamma) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \epsilon_\gamma(\rho_x)$ exists because ϵ_γ is a morphism, and the limit is equal to $\rho(\gamma)$. However, even when $\rho(\gamma)$ is not defined, $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \rho_x(\gamma)$ will exist if $\rho_x(\gamma)$ is defined for some $x \in C \setminus \{x_0\}$ (see Example 5.6).

5 Functoriality

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\Phi: (F', \Delta') \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$ be a homomorphism of marked groups, where $\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ and $\Delta' = \{\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_t\}$. Let $(U, \rho) \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. The t -tuple $(\rho'(\gamma'_1), \dots, \rho'(\gamma'_t))$ defined by $\rho'(\gamma'_i) = \rho(|\Phi|(\gamma'_i))$ determines a unique admissible representation $(U', \rho') \in R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$. We have $|\Phi|(U') \subset U$, with equality if Φ is a marked epimorphism, and*

$$\rho' = \rho \circ |\Phi|. \quad (1)$$

Proof Let w', \tilde{w}' be words in $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_t$ such that w', \tilde{w}' both represent the same element $\gamma' \in F'$ and $w'(\rho'), \tilde{w}'(\rho')$ are defined. Define a word w in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ as follows: replace every occurrence of $(\gamma'_i)^{\pm 1}$ in w' with $\gamma_{m_i}^{\pm 1}$, where $\gamma_{m_i} = |\Phi|(\gamma'_i)$, and define \tilde{w} from \tilde{w}' similarly. Then w, \tilde{w} both represent $|\Phi|(\gamma') \in F$, $w(\rho)$ and $\tilde{w}(\rho)$ are both defined, and we have $w(\rho) = w'(\rho')$ and $\tilde{w}(\rho) = \tilde{w}'(\rho')$. But $w(\rho) = \tilde{w}(\rho) = \rho(|\Phi|(\gamma'))$, so $w'(\rho') = \tilde{w}'(\rho')$. Therefore ρ' is an admissible representation by Lemma 4.3 (b). Uniqueness follows from Lemma 4.3 (a), and Equation 1 is a consequence of Lemma 4.3 (d). Finally, if Φ is a marked epimorphism then every

word w in $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$ can be obtained from some word w' in $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_t$ in the way described above, and it follows easily that $|\Phi|(U') = U$. \square

Definition 5.2 Define $\Phi^\#: R_\Delta(F, \overline{G}) \rightarrow R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$ by $\Phi^\#((U, \rho)) = (U', \rho')$, where (U', ρ') is as given above.

In terms of our canonical embeddings in projective varieties (see Convention 4.4), $\Phi^\#$ is the restriction to $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ of a product of projections onto factors of \overline{G}^r , so $\Phi^\#$ is a morphism. It is easily verified that $(F, \Delta) \mapsto R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$, $\Phi \mapsto \Phi^\#$ is a contravariant functor from \mathfrak{MG} to the category of projective varieties, and the restriction of $\Phi^\#$ to $R(F, G)$ is equal to $\phi^\#: R(F, G) \rightarrow R(F', G)$ from §1.

Example 5.3 If $\Phi: (F', \Delta') \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$ is a marked epimorphism then by considering the canonical embeddings of $R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$ and $R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$, we see that $\Phi^\#$ is a closed embedding. In particular, if F' is free on $\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_t$ and $\Delta' = \{\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_t\}$ then, identifying $R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$ with \overline{G}^t as in Example 4.8 (a), we have $\Phi^\# = \epsilon_{|\Phi|(\gamma'_1)} \times \dots \times \epsilon_{|\Phi|(\gamma'_t)}$.

When comparing different compactifications of $R(F, G)$, it is helpful to consider inclusions $J: (F, \Delta') \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$. If Δ' is properly contained in Δ then $J^\#$ need not be an isomorphism (see Example 5.6 below). Here is a criterion for $J^\#$ to be an isomorphism near a point.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\Phi: (F, \Delta') \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$ be an inclusion. Set*

$$O = \{\rho' \in R_{\Delta'}(F, \overline{G}) \mid \rho'(\gamma) \text{ is defined for all } \gamma \in \Delta \setminus \Delta'\}.$$

Then O is open and $\Phi^\#|_{(\Phi^\#)^{-1}(O)}: (\Phi^\#)^{-1}(O) \rightarrow O$ is an isomorphism.

Proof Write $\Delta \setminus \Delta' = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$. Let $\rho' \in O$. There are words w_1, \dots, w_s in the generators from Δ' such that w_i represents γ_i and $w_i(\rho')$ is defined. Then $w_1(\rho), \dots, w_s(\rho)$ are defined for ρ in an open neighbourhood O' of ρ' . Define $\psi: O' \rightarrow R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$ by $\psi(\rho)(\gamma') = \rho(\gamma')$ for $\gamma' \in \Delta'$, and $\psi(\rho)(\gamma_i) = w_i(\rho)$. It is simple to check that ψ is well-defined, that $\psi(O') = (\Phi^\#)^{-1}(O')$, that $O' \subset O$ and that $\psi: O' \rightarrow (\Phi^\#)^{-1}(O')$ is a morphism with inverse $\Phi^\#|_{(\Phi^\#)^{-1}(O')}$. The result follows. \square

As an immediate corollary, we have

Corollary 5.5 *Let (F, Δ) be a marked group, let $\gamma \in F$ and let $\Phi: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (F, \Delta \cup \{\gamma\})$ be inclusion. Given $\rho \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$, if $(\Phi^\#)^{-1}(\rho)$ contains more than one point then $\rho(\gamma)$ is not defined.* \square

Example 5.6 Let $F = F_2$, with free generators γ_1, γ_2 , and let $G = \text{PGL}_2(k)$ with the standard embedding. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\Delta_n = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1}, \gamma_1^2\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-2}, \dots, \gamma_1^n\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-n}\}$, and for $N \in \mathbb{N}, x \in k^*$, define $\rho_x^{(N)} \in R(F, G)$ by

$$\rho_x^{(N)}(\gamma_1) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad \rho_x^{(N)}(\gamma_2) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x^{2N-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Let $\Phi_n: (F, \Delta_n) \rightarrow (F, \Delta_{n+1})$ be inclusion.

Regarding each $\rho_x^{(N)}$ as an element of $R_{\Delta_n}(F, \overline{G})$, define $\sigma_n, \tau_n \in R_{\Delta_n}^s(F, \overline{G})$ to be $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x^{(n)}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x^{(n+1)}$ respectively. Then $\sigma_n \neq \tau_n$ — for

$$\sigma_n(\gamma_1^n \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-n}) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \neq [I] = \tau_n(\gamma_1^n \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-n})$$

— but $\Phi_n^\#(\sigma_{n+1}) = \Phi_n^\#(\tau_{n+1}) = \tau_n$. We conclude from Corollary 5.5 that $\tau_n(\gamma_1^{n+1} \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-(n+1)})$ is undefined, even though $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x^{(n+1)}(\gamma_1^{n+1} \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-(n+1)}) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$ exists. Moreover, none of the compositions $\Phi_n^\# \circ \Phi_{n+1}^\# \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{n+m-1}^\#$ gives an isomorphism from $R_{\Delta_{n+m}}^s(F, \overline{G})$ to $R_{\Delta_n}^s(F, \overline{G})$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, so the strict compactifications $R_{\Delta_n}^s(F, \overline{G})$ are pairwise nonisomorphic. This implies that the compactifications $R_{\Delta_n}(F, \overline{G})$ are also pairwise nonisomorphic.

Example 5.6 shows that the compactifications arising from different generating sets may be distinct. Now we see that this problem cannot be avoided.

Theorem 5.7 *There does not exist a contravariant functor $F \mapsto \tilde{R}(F, G)$, $\phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}^\#$ from the category \mathfrak{FG} to the category of projective varieties such that:*
(i) for every finitely generated group F , $\tilde{R}(F, G)$ is a compactification of $R(F, G)$;
and
(ii) for every homomorphism $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$ of finitely generated groups, the restriction to $R(F, G)$ of the morphism $\tilde{\phi}^\#: \tilde{R}(F, G) \rightarrow \tilde{R}(F', G)$ equals $\phi^\#$.

Proof Suppose that such a functor exists. Let $F, G, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \Delta_n, \rho_x^{(N)}$ be as in Example 5.6. Given $\gamma \in F$, let $i_\gamma: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F$ be the homomorphism that takes $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ to γ . By hypothesis, we have a morphism $\tilde{i}_\gamma^\#: \tilde{R}(F, G) \rightarrow \tilde{R}(\mathbb{Z}, G)$ that extends $i_\gamma^\#$. Set $D_n = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Delta_n \setminus \{\gamma_1\}} (\tilde{i}_\gamma^\#)^{-1}(\rho_0^\mathbb{Z}) \subset \tilde{R}(F, G)$. It is clear that $D_1 \supset D_2 \supset \cdots$.

Define $\beta_n = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x^{(n+1)} \in \tilde{R}(F, G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{i}_{\gamma_1^m \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-m}}^\#(\beta_n) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \tilde{i}_{\gamma_1^m \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-m}}^\#(\rho_x^{(n)}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} i_{\gamma_1^m \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-m}}^\#(\rho_x^{(n)}) \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x^{(n)}(\gamma_1^m \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-m}) = I \end{aligned}$$

for all m such that $1 \leq m \leq n$. However, we have $\tilde{i}_{\gamma_1^{n+1} \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-(n+1)}}^\#(\beta_n) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \rho_x^{(n)}(\gamma_1^{n+1} \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-(n+1)})$ which does not equal I , so $\beta_n \in D_n \setminus D_{n+1}$. But this implies that the sequence $D_1 \supset D_2 \supset \cdots$ is strictly decreasing, which contradicts the descending chain condition on closed subsets of $\tilde{R}(F, G)$. \square

Remark 5.8 If we restrict ourselves to the category of finite groups then we can find a functor with the properties given in Theorem 5.7. We set $\tilde{R}(F, G) =$

$R_F(F, \overline{G})$. Then any homomorphism $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$ of finite groups gives rise to a marked homomorphism $\Phi: (F', F') \rightarrow (F, F)$ such that $|\Phi| = \phi$, and we define $\tilde{\phi}^\# = \Phi^\#$.

Remark 5.9 Theorem 5.7 says that we cannot make a single uniform choice of compactification $\tilde{R}(F, G)$ for every F and preserve functoriality. However, given a single pair of finitely generated groups F, F' and a homomorphism $\phi: F' \rightarrow F$, we can extend $\phi^\#$ to a morphism $\Phi^\#: R_\Delta(F, \overline{G}) \rightarrow R_{\Delta'}(F', \overline{G})$ for an appropriate choice of markings Δ, Δ' .

6 Approximate Kernels

Let $p: F \rightarrow Q$ be an epimorphism of finitely generated groups, with kernel N .

Lemma 6.1 *There exists an approximate G -kernel of p .*

Proof Suppose not. Then we can find finitely generated subsets $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ of N such that the chain $j_1^{-1}(\rho_0^{K_1}) \supset j_2^{-1}(\rho_0^{K_2}) \supset \dots$ is strictly decreasing, where j_i is the inclusion of K_i in F . But this contradicts the descending chain condition on closed subsets of $R(F, G)$. \square

Remark 6.2 If N is the normal closure of K in F , then for any G , K is an approximate G -kernel of p .

We want to describe the image of $P^\#$ for compactified representation varieties in a similar way.

Lemma 6.3 *Let $P: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (Q, \Xi)$ be a marked epimorphism. Let $(U, \rho) \in R_\Delta(F, \overline{G})$. Then ρ is in the image of $P^\#$ if and only if:*

(*) *for all $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in U$ such that $|P|(\gamma) = |P|(\tilde{\gamma})$, we have $\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\tilde{\gamma})$.*

Proof If (*) holds then we have a well-defined function $\sigma: |P|(U) \rightarrow \overline{G}$ given by $\sigma(|P|(\gamma)) = \rho(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in U$. It is easily checked that $(|P|(U), \sigma)$ is an admissible representation and that $\rho = P^\#(\sigma)$ (compare the proof of Proposition 5.1). The converse follows from Equation 1. \square

Definition 6.4 Let $P: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (Q, \Xi)$ be a marked epimorphism. Let $\mathcal{K} = (K, \Theta)$ be a marked group with $K \subset \ker |P|$ and $\Theta \subset \Delta$, and let $J: (K, \Theta) \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$ be inclusion. We say that \mathcal{K} is an **approximate \overline{G} -kernel** of P if $P^\#(R_\Xi(Q, \overline{G})) = (J^\#)^{-1}(\rho_0^K)$. (Note that $P^\#(R_\Xi(Q, \overline{G}))$ is always contained in $(J^\#)^{-1}(\rho_0^K)$.)

If instead of P we are given an epimorphism $p: F \rightarrow Q$ then we take P to be the obvious marked epimorphism. If just a normal subgroup N of F is given then we take p to be the canonical epimorphism $F \rightarrow F/N$. If just K is given then we take N to be the normal closure of K in F , and we say that \mathcal{K} is an approximate kernel of (F, Δ) .

Proposition 6.5 *Let $P: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (Q, \Xi)$ be a marked epimorphism, and let $\mathcal{K} = (K, \Theta)$ be a marked subgroup of (F, Δ) with $K \subset \ker |P|$.*

(a) If (K, Θ) is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of P , then K is an approximate G -kernel of $|P|$.

(b) If $K = \ker |P|$ then (K, Θ) is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of P .

Proof (a) Let $\rho \in R_{\Xi}(Q, \overline{G})$. Since $|P|$ is surjective, ρ belongs to $R(Q, G)$ if and only if $P^{\#}(\rho)$ belongs to $R(F, G)$. Part (a) now follows.

(b) Let $J: (K, \Theta) \rightarrow (F, \Delta)$ be inclusion. Choose any $(U, \rho) \in R_{\Delta}(F, \overline{G})$ such that $J^{\#}(\rho) = \rho_0^{\mathcal{K}}$. If $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in U$ such that $|P|(\gamma) = |P|(\tilde{\gamma})$ then we have $\tilde{\gamma} = \alpha\gamma$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Since $\rho(\alpha) = [I]$, $\rho(\alpha)\rho(\gamma)$ is defined and $\rho(\tilde{\gamma}) = [I] \cdot \rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)$ by Lemma 4.3 (c). Lemma 6.3 now implies that ρ lies in the image of $P^{\#}$, as required. \square

Example 6.6 (a) Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let Δ be the standard marking for F_s . Given $\Theta \subset \Delta$, set $\mathcal{K} = (\langle \Theta \rangle, \Theta)$. It is clear that \mathcal{K} is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of (F_s, Δ) .

(b) Let $F, \Delta, G, \overline{G}, \rho$ be as in Example 4.8 (b). Let P be the marked epimorphism from (F, Δ) onto the trivial marked group $(1, \{1\})$. Let $\mathcal{K} = (\langle n \rangle, \{n\})$ and let N be the normal closure of K in F . Then $N = F = \ker |P|$; but \mathcal{K} is not an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of P , for ρ is nontrivial yet $\rho(n) = [I]$.

Given an extension $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ of groups with F finitely generated and given a marking Δ for F , one shouldn't expect an approximate \overline{G} -kernel to exist, for the reasons given at the end of §3. This leads us to broaden our definition of approximate kernel.

Lemma 6.7 *Let $p: F \rightarrow Q$ be an epimorphism, let Δ_1, Δ_2 be markings for F and let $(K, \Theta_1), (K, \Theta_2)$ be marked subgroups of $(F, \Delta_1), (F, \Delta_2)$ respectively, with $K \subset \ker p$. Suppose that $\Delta_1 \setminus \Theta_1 = \Delta_2 \setminus \Theta_2$. Then (K, Θ_1) is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of (F, Δ_1) if and only if (K, Θ_2) is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of (F, Δ_2) .*

Proof Let $P_1: (F, \Delta_1) \rightarrow (Q, p(\Delta_1))$ and $P_2: (F, \Delta_2) \rightarrow (Q, p(\Delta_2))$ be the marked epimorphisms induced by p . Set $S = \Delta_1 \setminus \Theta_1 = \Delta_2 \setminus \Theta_2$. Let $\rho_1 \in R_{\Delta_1}(F, \overline{G})$ such that $\rho_1(\gamma) = [I]$ for all $\gamma \in \Theta_1$. For each $\gamma \in \Theta_1$, choose a word v_γ in the generators from Θ_2 such that v_γ represents γ . Given a word w_1 in the generators from Δ_1 , we obtain a word w_2 in the generators from Δ_2 by replacing every occurrence of $\gamma^{\pm 1}$ in w_1 by $v_\gamma^{\pm 1}$, for all $\gamma \in \Theta_1$. Then w_1, w_2 represent the same element of F and $(w_1)_S = (w_2)_S$, where $(w_1)_S, (w_2)_S$ are defined as in Example 2.6.

Define ρ_2 by $\rho_2(\gamma) = [I]$ for $\gamma \in \Theta_2$ and $\rho_2(\gamma) = \rho_1(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in S$. If $w_1(\rho_1)$ is defined then $w_2(\rho_2)$ is defined and $w_2(\rho_2) = (w_2)_S(\rho_2) = (w_1)_S(\rho_1) = w_1(\rho_1)$. It is now straightforward to verify that ρ_2 belongs to $R_{\Delta_2}(F, \overline{G})$ and that moreover, $\rho_1 \in \text{Im } P_1^{\#}$ if and only if $\rho_2 \in \text{Im } P_2^{\#}$.

By symmetry, we can interchange the roles of (F, Δ_1) and (F, Δ_2) , and the result follows. \square

Definition 6.8 We say that a subgroup K of $\ker p$ is an **approximate \overline{G} -kernel** of (F, Δ) if

(*) (K, Θ) is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of $(F, \Delta \cup \Theta)$ in the sense of Definition 6.4,

for some marking Θ . In view of Lemma 6.7, the question of whether (*) holds is independent of the choice of Θ .

Theorem 6.9 *Let $P: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (Q, \Xi)$ be a marked epimorphism. There exists a finitely generated subgroup K of $\ker |P|$ such that K is an approximate kernel of P .*

Proof Given a marked group $\tilde{K} = (\tilde{K}, \tilde{\Theta})$ with $\tilde{K} \subset \ker |P|$, let $J_{\tilde{K}}: (\tilde{K}, \tilde{\Theta}) \rightarrow (F, \Delta \cup \tilde{\Theta})$ and $L_{\tilde{K}}: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (F, \Delta \cup \tilde{\Theta})$ be the inclusions. Define a closed subset $V_{\tilde{K}}$ of $R_{\Delta}(F, \overline{G})$ by $V_{\tilde{K}} = L_{\tilde{K}}^{\#}((J_{\tilde{K}}^{\#})^{-1}(\rho_0^{\tilde{K}}))$. Since the variety $R_{\Delta}(F, \overline{G})$ is a noetherian topological space, we can choose $\mathcal{K} = (K, \Theta)$ such that $V_{\mathcal{K}}$ is minimal. We show that \mathcal{K} is an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of $(F, \Delta \cup \Theta)$.

Let $(U, \rho) \in R_{\Delta \cup \Theta}(F, \overline{G})$ such that $(J_{\mathcal{K}}^{\#})^{-1}(\rho) = \rho_0^{\mathcal{K}}$. Choose any $\gamma, \delta \in U$ such that $|P|(\gamma) = |P|(\delta)$. Then $\delta = \alpha\gamma$ for some $\alpha \in \ker |P|$. Set $\tilde{K} = (\tilde{K}, \tilde{\Theta})$, where $\tilde{K} = \langle K \cup \{\alpha\} \rangle$ and $\tilde{\Theta} = \Theta \cup \{\alpha\}$, and let $M: (F, \Delta \cup \Theta) \rightarrow (F, \Delta \cup \tilde{\Theta})$ be inclusion. Then $V_{\tilde{K}} \subset V_{\mathcal{K}}$. Thus $V_{\tilde{K}} = V_{\mathcal{K}}$ by choice of \mathcal{K} , so we can find $(\tilde{U}, \tilde{\rho}) \in R_{\Delta \cup \tilde{\Theta}}(F, \overline{G})$ such that $L_{\tilde{K}}^{\#}(\tilde{\rho}) = L_{\mathcal{K}}^{\#}(\rho)$ and $(J_{\tilde{K}}^{\#})^{-1}(\tilde{\rho}) = \rho_0^{\tilde{K}}$. It is easily checked that $M^{\#}(\tilde{\rho}) = \rho$, whence $\gamma, \delta \in \tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)$, $\tilde{\rho}(\delta) = \rho(\delta)$. But $\tilde{\rho}(\alpha) = [I]$, so

$$\rho(\delta) = \tilde{\rho}(\delta) = [I] \cdot \tilde{\rho}(\gamma) = \tilde{\rho}(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma).$$

By Lemma 6.3, we are done. \square

The next example illustrates that we cannot choose a K that works for all choices of marking Δ .

Example 6.10 Let $F = F_2$ with free generators γ_1, γ_2 and let $\Delta = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$. Let $Q = \mathbb{Z}$ with generator γ_1 and let $\Xi = \{\gamma_1\}$. Define $P: (F, \Delta) \rightarrow (Q, \Xi)$ by $|P|(\gamma_1) = \gamma_1$, $|P|(\gamma_2) = 1$. Let $G = \text{PGL}_2(k)$ with the standard embedding.

Now choose any finitely generated subgroup K of $\ker |P|$ and any marking Θ for K . Since $\ker |P|$ is not finitely generated ([7], Theorem 2), we can pick $\delta \in \ker |P|$ such that $\delta \notin \langle K \cup \{\gamma_2\} \rangle$. Let $\Delta_{\delta} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta\}$. We show that (K, Θ) is not an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of $(F, \Delta_{\delta} \cup \Theta)$.

Define $\rho(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \Delta_{\delta} \cup \Theta$ by

$$\rho(\gamma) = [I] \text{ for } \gamma \in \Theta \cup \{\gamma_2\}, \quad \rho(\gamma_1) = \rho(\delta) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

We check that ρ gives an admissible representation. If w is any reduced word in the generators from $\Delta_{\delta} \cup \Theta$ such that $w(\rho)$ is defined, then neither of the letters

γ_1^{-1} and δ^{-1} can appear in w . There are three possibilities:

- (i) neither of γ_1, δ appears in w (in which case $w(\rho) = [I]$);
- (ii) γ_1 appears exactly once in w and δ does not appear (in which case $w(\rho) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$);
- (iii) δ appears exactly once in w and γ_1 does not appear (in which case $w(\rho) = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$).

Let w, \tilde{w} be two reduced words in the generators from $\Delta_\delta \cup \Theta$ such that $w(\rho), \tilde{w}(\rho)$ are defined and w, \tilde{w} represent the same element of F . We must show that $w(\rho) = \tilde{w}(\rho)$. Clearly we need only consider the case when (i) holds for w and (iii) holds for \tilde{w} : say $\tilde{w} = u\delta v$, for some words u, v in the generators from $\Theta \cup \{\gamma_2\}$. But then δ and $u^{-1}wv^{-1}$ represent the same element of F , which is impossible because $u^{-1}wv^{-1}$ represents an element of $\langle K \cup \{\gamma_2\} \rangle$. Thus ρ is well-defined.

We see that $\rho(\gamma) = [I]$ for $\gamma \in \Theta$, but $\rho(\delta) \neq [I]$, so (K, Θ) is not an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of $(F, \Delta_\delta \cup \Theta)$, as claimed. It follows that K is not an approximate \overline{G} -kernel of (F, Δ_δ) .

References

- [1] Selman Akbulut and John D. McCarthy, *Casson's invariant for oriented homology 3-spheres: An exposition*, Mathematical Notes, no. 36, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1990.
- [2] William M. Goldman, *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces*, Adv. in Math. **54** (1984), 200–225.
- [3] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [4] James E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, no. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [5] Y. Iwayoshi and M. Taniguchi, *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 1992, Translated and revised from the Japanese by the authors.
- [6] Dennis Johnson and John J. Millson, *Deformation spaces associated to compact hyperbolic manifolds*, Discrete Groups in Geometry and Analysis: Papers in Honor of G. D. Mostow on His Sixtieth Birthday (Roger Howe, ed.), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 67, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1987, pp. 48–106.
- [7] Abraham Karrass and Donald Solitar, *On finitely generated subgroups of a free group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 209–213.

- [8] Alexander Lubotzky and Andy R. Magid, *Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1985), no. 336, xi+117.
- [9] Benjamin M. S. Martin, *A foliation of the space of conjugacy classes of representations of a surface group*, Submitted, 1999.
- [10] Peter E. Newstead, *Lectures on introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg/Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1978.
- [11] A. S. Rapinchuk, V. V. Benyash-Krivetz, and V. I. Chernousov, *Representation varieties of the fundamental groups of compact orientable surfaces*, Israel J. Math. **93** (1996), 29–71.
- [12] Peter Slodowy, *Two notes on a finiteness problem in the representation theory of finite groups*, Algebraic groups and Lie groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, With an appendix by G.-Martin Cram, pp. 331–348.
- [13] Jonathan Weitsman, *Real polarization of the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface*, Comm. Math. Phys. **145** (1992), 425–433.

School of Mathematics and Statistics F07
University of Sydney
Sydney NSW 2006
Australia