

Second derivatives of norms and contractive complementation in vector-valued spaces

Bas Lemmens, Beata Randrianantoanina*,
and Onno van Gaans

Abstract

We consider 1-complemented subspaces (ranges of contractive projections) of vector-valued spaces $\ell_p(X)$, where X is a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis and $p \in (1, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$. If the norm of X is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies certain conditions connecting the norm and the notion of disjointness with respect to the basis, then we prove that every 1-complemented subspace of $\ell_p(X)$ admits a basis of mutually disjoint elements. Moreover, we show that every contractive projection is then an averaging operator. We apply our results to the space $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ with $p, q \in (1, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$ and obtain a complete characterization of its 1-complemented subspaces.

M.S.C. (2000). Primary 46B45, 46B04; Secondary 47B37.

Key words. Block basis, contractive projections, $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ spaces, norm one projections, one-complemented subspaces, smooth norms, vector-valued spaces.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that every orthogonal projection on a Hilbert space H is contractive and that for every closed subspace Y of H there exists an orthogonal projection whose range is Y . In fact, if the dimension of H is at least 3, then it has been shown by Kakutani [13] that this property characterizes the Hilbert spaces among the Banach spaces. Hence contractive projections on Banach spaces are a natural generalization of orthogonal projections on Hilbert spaces; but, as the result of Kakutani indicates, they are more rare. It is therefore not surprising that contractive projections have been studied extensively, starting with work by Bohnenblust [3] in the nineteen forties. A

*Participant, NSF Workshop in Linear Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University.

detailed overview of the vast literature on contractive projections and their applications can be found in the survey papers [5, 20].

Identifying contractive projections and their ranges for a given Banach space has often proved to be difficult. For Lebesgue L_p spaces, with $p \neq 2$, there exists a well-known characterization of the contractive projections and their ranges (see [1, 2, 9, 18, 26]). However, for many other classical Banach spaces, such as Orlicz spaces and Lorentz sequence spaces, there are only partial results; see the survey [20]. The best results to date for Musielak-Orlicz spaces were obtained by Jamison, Kamińska, and Lewicki [11], who characterized the 1-complemented subspaces of finite co-dimension in case the Orlicz functions are sufficiently smooth.

The problem of characterizing contractive projections and their ranges in vector-valued spaces is known to be particularly hard, even for spaces of the type $L_p(X)$, where X is finite dimensional. We refer the reader to a survey by Doust [10] for a nice overview of the various partial results that were known in the mid nineties. As Doust points out, most of the results require special additional assumptions on the form of projections and leave open the cases of $L_p(X)$ and $\ell_p(X)$, even when X is a two dimensional ℓ_q space. The most general result for vector-valued spaces was obtained by Raynaud [23], who gave a complete description of the contractive projections in $L_p(H)$, for H a Hilbert space. Raynaud's result is valid in full generality without any assumptions about σ -finiteness of the measure on L_p or separability of Hilbert space H .

In the present paper we study contractive projections in vector-valued $\ell_p(X)$ spaces, in particular $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ spaces. Our main result characterizes the 1-complemented subspaces of real $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ spaces for $p, q \in (1, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$. The complex case was obtained in [19], in which 1-complemented subspaces of general complex spaces with 1-unconditional bases are characterized, using a technique based on Hermitian operators. This technique, however, does not work in real spaces. This is due to the following fundamental result of Kalton and Wood [12] for complex spaces, which has no real analogue (see [17]): every 1-complemented subspace of a complex Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis has a 1-unconditional basis. In fact, it is not known whether each 1-complemented subspace in real space admits an unconditional basis with any constant (cf. [20, Section 7.e]). The characterization presented here shows in particular that every 1-complemented subspace of a real $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ space has an unconditional basis.

To obtain the characterization, we introduce a condition on the second

derivative of the norm of a real Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, that guarantees that every contractive projection is an averaging operator and its range admits a block basis. We subsequently apply it to analyse contractive projections on vector-valued $\ell_p(X)$ spaces. As a consequence we find that 1-complemented subspaces of $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ admit a block basis, in case p and q both in $(2, \infty)$, or, both in $(1, 2)$. In the mixed case, where $p \in (1, 2)$ and $q \in (2, \infty)$, or, the other way around, we can not apply the condition and we shall use a different argument.

The idea to exploit the second derivative of the norm to analyse contractive projections is not new. In fact, it already appears implicitly in work of Bernau and Lacey [2] and Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [18], who considered the derivative of the norming functionals (or duality map). The derivative of the norming functionals has also been used by Bru, Heinrich, and Lootgieter [4] to identify contractive projections on Orlicz spaces that have a second order smooth norm and satisfy some additional constraints. More recently, Lemmens and van Gaans [15] have used the second derivative of the norm to show for a fairly general class of finite dimensional Banach spaces that the range of every contractive projection has a block basis. In particular, one could apply their technique to prove that the range of each contractive projection on $\ell_p^m(\ell_q^n)$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, has a block basis, if $p > 2$ and $q > 2$, or p and q in $(1, 2)$. It is interesting to note that differential techniques are not only useful for analysing contractive projections, but also appear in the study of isometries; see, for instance, work by Koldobsky [14].

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect several definitions and notations that will be used throughout the exposition. In addition, we recall some preliminary results. Before we get started however, we emphasize that in the paper all Banach spaces are over the field of real numbers.

Let X be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. We denote by $S_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ the *unit sphere of X* . The dual space of X is denoted by X^* and the conjugate norm is indicated by $\|\cdot\|^*$. For each $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i e_i$ in X we let $s(x) = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha_i \neq 0\}$ be the *support* of x . If $s(x)$ is finite, we call x *simple*. Since X has a 1-unconditional basis, the partial ordering induced by the basis makes X a Banach lattice. Hence there exists a natural notion of disjointness in X . Elements x and y in X are called *disjoint* if $|x| \wedge |y| = 0$. As X has a 1-unconditional basis,

this is equivalent to $s(x) \cap s(y) = \emptyset$. We note that the dual of a Banach lattice is again a Banach lattice and therefore there exists a natural notion of disjointness in X^* . If Y is a subspace of X and Y has a basis $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim Y}$ such that y_i and y_j are disjoint for all $i \neq j$, then $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim Y}$ is called a *block basis* for Y .

In this paper we are particularly interested in the vector-valued spaces $\ell_p(X)$. If X is a Banach space with norm σ , then for $p \in [1, \infty)$ the vector-valued space $\ell_p(X)$ consists of those $x: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ for which

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma(x(k))^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

By equipping the space $\ell_p(X)$ with the norm,

$$\|x\|_{\ell_p(X)} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sigma(x(k))^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{for all } x \in \ell_p(X),$$

it becomes a Banach space. It is not difficult to verify that if X has a 1-unconditional basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, then for any ordering on the elements $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ the functions $e_{ij}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ given by, $e_{ij}(k) = e_i$ if $k = j$ and $e_{ij}(k) = 0$ otherwise, form a 1-unconditional basis for $\ell_p(X)$. For elements in $\ell_p(X)$ with basis $\{e_{ij}\}_{(i,j)}$, it is useful to introduce the notion of vector support. For $x \in \ell_p(X)$ we define $vs(x) = \{k \in \mathbb{N}: x(k) \neq 0\}$ to be the *vector support* of x . We note that the dual space of $\ell_p(X)$ is equal to $\ell_{p^*}(X^*)$, where $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$, if X is reflexive (see e.g. [7, Chapter IV]).

If X is a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a linear operator for which there exist mutually disjoint elements $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ in X and mutually disjoint elements $\{v_i^*\}_{i \in I}$ in X^* such that $v_i^*(u_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and

$$Tx = \sum_{i \in I} v_i^*(x)u_i \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

then T is called an *averaging operator*. Obviously, the range of an averaging operator has a block basis.

We also need to recall some definitions concerning higher order derivatives of norms. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let $L(X, Y)$ be the Banach space of continuous linear operators from X into Y equipped with the usual operator norm. We denote by $B^k(X, Y)$ the Banach space of continuous k -linear operators $T: X \times \dots \times X \rightarrow Y$ with the norm $\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x_1, \dots, x_k)\|: \|x_1\| = \dots = \|x_k\| = 1\}$. A mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow Y$, where $U \subset X$ is open, is called *differentiable at* $x \in U$ if there exists a

linear operator $D\varphi(x)$ in $L(X, Y)$ such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x) - D\varphi(x)h\|}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

The linear operator $D\varphi(x)$ is unique and is called the *derivative* of φ at x . Higher order derivatives $D^k\varphi(x) \in B^k(X, Y)$ are defined in the usual inductive manner (see Dieudonné [8]). The mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow Y$ is said to be C^k on U if it is k -times differentiable at every point $x \in U$ and $D^k\varphi: U \rightarrow B^k(X, Y)$ is continuous. We note that if $\varphi: U \rightarrow Y$ is k -times differentiable at x , then the multi-linear map $D^k\varphi(x)$ is symmetric.

If $\varphi: U \rightarrow Y$ is C^k on U and the line-segment $[x, x+h] \subset U$, then the usual Taylor expansion

$$\varphi(x+h) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j!} D^j\varphi(x)(h, \dots, h) + \vartheta_x(h),$$

where

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\vartheta_x(h)\|}{\|h\|^k} = 0,$$

is valid. We shall also use a weaker notion of differentiability. A map $\varphi: U \rightarrow Y$ is said to be k -times *directionally differentiable* at $x \in U$ if for $1 \leq j \leq k$ there exists a continuous symmetric j -linear operator $D^j\varphi(x) \in B^j(X, Y)$ such that for every $h \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $[x, x+th] \subset U$ we have that

$$\varphi(x+th) = \varphi(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{t^j}{j!} D^j\varphi(x)(h, \dots, h) + \vartheta_x(th),$$

where

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\vartheta_x(th)\|}{|t|^k} = 0.$$

One can verify that the operators $D^j\varphi(x)$ are unique. Equipped with these notions of differentiability we now recall the following definition from [25].

Definition 2.1. *A Banach space X is called C^k smooth if the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is C^k on $X \setminus \{0\}$. It is said to be D^k smooth if the norm is k times directionally differentiable at each $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$.*

In the analysis of the vector-valued spaces $\ell_p(X)$ we shall use the following smoothness result of Leonard and Sundaresan.

Theorem 2.2 ([16], Theorem 3.3). *If X is a Banach space and $p > k$, then $\ell_p(X)$ is C^k smooth if and only if X is C^k smooth and the k th derivative of the norm of X is uniformly bounded on the unit sphere in X .*

In particular, it follows from this theorem that the space $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ is C^2 smooth if p and q in $(2, \infty)$.

3 Second derivatives of norms and contractive projections

We begin this section by introducing the property of the second derivative of the norm. Subsequently we explain how it can be used to analyse contractive projections and their ranges.

Definition 3.1. *Suppose X is a Banach space with a basis and for each $x, y \in X$ the function $N = N_{xy}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $N(\alpha) = \|x + \alpha y\|$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that X reflects disjointness if for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \notin \text{span}\{y\}$ the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *the function N is continuously differentiable (and then $N''(\alpha)$ exists almost everywhere by convexity);*
- (ii) *if x and y are not disjoint and $N'(0) = 0$, then $N''(\alpha)$ does not converge to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along any subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure;*
- (iii) *if x and y are disjoint and y is simple, then $N'(0) = 0$ and $N''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure.*

The idea of this definition is that if X reflects disjointness, then one can test disjointness of elements in X by analysing the second derivative of the norm. Similar ways to test disjointness have been applied by Koldobsky [14] to identify isometries. The connection with contractive projections was found by Randrianantoanina [21], who used the condition to identify contractive projections on certain Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm.

Let us now explain the connection of Definition 3.1 with contractive projections. To do this it is useful to recall the following definition from [22].

Definition 3.2. *Let X be a Banach space with a basis and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator. We call T semi-band preserving if for each $x, y \in X$ we have that Tx and Ty are disjoint, whenever Tx and y are disjoint.*

Semi-band preserving operators on a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis have a special form as the following theorem indicates.

Theorem 3.3 ([22], Theorem 4.7). *If X is a Banach space and X has a 1-unconditional basis, then a linear operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ is semi-band preserving if and only if T is an averaging operator.*

Thus, to show that a contractive projection is an averaging operator and its range has a block basis, it suffices to prove that the projection is semi-band preserving. Proving semi-band preservingness involves testing disjointness and this is where the property in Definition 3.1 comes into play. As a matter of fact, we have the following result, which generalizes [21, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.4. *If X is a D^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, and X reflects disjointness, then every contractive projection on X is an averaging operator and its range admits a block basis.*

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is very similar to the proof of [21, Theorem 3.2] and uses the following lemma, which is a slight modification of [21, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.5 ([21], Lemma 3.1). *Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be convex functions that are continuously differentiable and assume that φ' and ψ' are absolutely continuous on $[0, 1]$. If $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$ and $\varphi(\alpha) \leq \psi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

- (a) $\varphi'(0) = \psi'(0)$;
- (b) the set $E = \{\alpha : \varphi''(\alpha) \text{ and } \psi''(\alpha) \text{ exist and } \varphi''(\alpha) \leq \psi''(\alpha)\}$ has positive Lebesgue measure in each interval $(0, \delta)$, $\delta > 0$;
- (c) for every $C > 0$ the Lebesgue measure of

$$\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : \psi''(\alpha) \text{ exists and } \psi''(\alpha) \leq C\}$$

is strictly smaller than 1, whenever $\varphi''(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of full measure.

Proof. The parts (a) and (c) are as in [21, Lemma 3.1]. Part (b) is a modification of that lemma. Let $A := \{\alpha > 0 : \varphi'(\alpha) = \psi'(\alpha)\}$. If $\inf A > 0$, then there is an $\varepsilon \in (0, \inf A)$ and $\varphi'(\alpha) \neq \psi'(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Denote $h := \psi - \varphi$. Then $h \geq 0$ and $h'(\alpha) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \varepsilon)$. As h' is continuous, h' is either strictly positive or strictly negative on $(0, \varepsilon)$. As $h(0) = 0$ and $h \geq 0$, we have $h'(\alpha) > 0$ for all $\alpha \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Since h' is absolutely continuous, h'' exists almost everywhere and

$$h'(\alpha) = \int_0^\alpha h''(\beta) d\beta \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in (0, \varepsilon),$$

as $h'(0) = 0$. It follows that the set $E = \{\alpha \in (0, \varepsilon) : h''(\alpha) \geq 0\}$ has positive measure in each interval $(0, \delta)$, $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$.

If $\inf A = 0$, then $\varphi'(\alpha_n) = \psi'(\alpha_n)$ for some $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$. Then

$$0 = h'(\alpha_n) = \int_0^{\alpha_n} h''(\beta) d\beta$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4. By Theorem 3.3 it suffices to show that every contractive projection $P: X \rightarrow X$ is semi-band preserving. Let $x, y \in X$, with y simple, and suppose that Px and y are disjoint and $Px \neq 0$. Define functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \|Px + \alpha Py\| \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\alpha) = \|Px + \alpha y\| \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Obviously, φ and ψ are convex and $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$. As P is a contractive projection, $\varphi(\alpha) = \|P^2x + \alpha Py\| \leq \psi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, φ and ψ are both twice continuously differentiable, because X is D^2 smooth. We can now use the fact that X reflects disjointness and y is simple, to deduce from Definition 3.1(iii) that $\psi'(0) = 0$ and $\psi''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. Since φ'' is continuous, Lemma 3.5 gives $\varphi'(0) = 0$ and $\varphi''(0) = 0$. By using Definition 3.1(ii) we find that Px and Py are disjoint. An arbitrary element $y \in X$ can be approximated by elements y_n in X such that the support of each y_n is finite and contained in the support of y . We conclude that P is semi-band preserving. \square

The condition on the second derivative of the norm in Definition 3.1 has a natural interpretation in terms of curvature properties of the unit sphere, if the Banach space is finite dimensional. More precisely, one can show that if X is a C^2 smooth finite dimensional Banach space with norm ρ and the standard basis is 1-unconditional, then X reflects disjointness is equivalent to saying that for each $x \in S_X$ the normal curvature at x in the direction of y is 0 if and only if x and y are disjoint. To prove this one has to note that the normal curvature $k(y)$ at x in the direction y , where y is in the tangent space at x , is given by $k(y) = N''_{xy}(0) / \|\nabla \rho(x)\|_2$.

4 Contractive projections on $\ell_p(X)$

In this section we analyse contractive projections on the vector-valued spaces $\ell_p(X)$ and, in particular, the spaces $\ell_p(\ell_q)$, where p and q are not equal to

2. As mentioned in the introduction we distinguish two cases: the unmixed case, where $p, q \in (2, \infty)$ or $p, q \in (1, 2)$, and the mixed case, where $p \in (1, 2)$ and $q \in (2, \infty)$, or, the other way around. We first prove a lemma and subsequently discuss the unmixed case.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a C^2 -smooth Banach space with norm σ such that $D\sigma$ is uniformly bounded on S_X . Let $p \in (1, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$, and let $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ define*

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(\alpha) &:= \sigma(x(k) + \alpha y(k)), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \tau(\alpha) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k^p(\alpha), \\ N(\alpha) &:= \tau(\alpha)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then τ is C^1 , τ' is absolutely continuous, N' and N'' exist almost everywhere, and

$$\tau'(\alpha) = \sum_{k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)} p N_k^{p-1}(\alpha) N'_k(\alpha) + p \alpha^{p-1} \sum_{k \in vs(y) \setminus vs(x)} \sigma^p(y(k)), \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau''(\alpha) &= \sum_{k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)} \left(p(p-1) N_k^{p-2}(\alpha) N'_k(\alpha)^2 + p N_k^{p-1}(\alpha) N''_k(\alpha) \right) \\ &\quad + p(p-1) \alpha^{p-2} \sum_{k \in vs(y) \setminus vs(x)} \sigma^p(y(k)), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\tau'(\alpha) = p N^{p-1}(\alpha) N'(\alpha), \quad (3)$$

$$\tau''(\alpha) = p(p-1) N^{p-2}(\alpha) N'(\alpha)^2 + p N^{p-1}(\alpha) N''(\alpha) \quad (4)$$

for Lebesgue-almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Consider first $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x(k) + \alpha y(k) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then N_k is a C^2 -function and hence $(N_k^p)' = p N_k^{p-1} N'_k$ is C^1 . Twice indefinite integration of $(N_k^p)''$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} N_k^p(\alpha) &= N_k^p(\beta) + p N_k^{p-1}(\beta) N'_k(\beta) (\alpha - \beta) \\ &\quad + \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \int_{\beta}^s \left(p(p-1) N_k^{p-2}(r) N'_k(r)^2 + p N_k(r)^{p-1}(r) N''_k(r) \right) dr ds \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq \beta$. On the other hand, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $x(k) + \gamma y(k) = 0$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, N_k is C^2 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\gamma\}$ and a straightforward computation shows that (5) is true also in this case.

Next we integrate the right hand side of (2) twice. As N_k is convex, we have $N_k'' \geq 0$ almost everywhere, so the right hand side of (2) is a measurable

function with values in $[0, \infty]$. Fubini's theorem for positive functions and (5) therefore yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \int_{\beta}^s \left(\sum_{k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)} \left(p(p-1)N_k^{p-2}(r)N_k'(r)^2 + pN_k^{p-1}(r)N_k''(r) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + p(p-1)r^{p-2} \sum_{k \in vs(y) \setminus vs(x)} \sigma^p(y(k)) \right) dr ds \\
& = \tau(\alpha) - \tau(\beta) - \left(\sum_{k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)} pN_k^{p-1}(\beta)N_k'(\beta) \right) (\alpha - \beta) \\
& \quad - p\beta^{p-1} \sum_{k \in vs(y) \setminus vs(x)} \sigma^p(y(k))(\alpha - \beta), \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha \geq \beta$. Since $D\sigma$ is uniformly bounded on S_X and $D\sigma(x) = D\sigma(\lambda x)$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$, there exists a constant C such that $\|D\sigma(z)\| \leq C$ for all $z \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Due to Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|pN_k^{p-1}(\alpha)N_k'(\alpha)| & \leq pC\sigma(x(k)) + \alpha y(k)^{p-1}\sigma(y(k)) \\
& \leq (p-1)C \left(\sigma(x(k)) + |\alpha|\sigma(y(k)) \right)^p + \frac{1}{p}C\sigma(y(k))^p, \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

and it therefore follows from (6) that the right hand side of (2) is an integrable function of α on bounded intervals. By solving $\tau(\alpha)$ from (6), it is clear that τ is C^1 and that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau'(\alpha) & = \sum_{k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)} pN_k^{p-1}(\beta)N_k'(\beta) + p\beta^{p-1} \sum_{k \in vs(y) \setminus vs(x)} \sigma^p(y(k)) \\
& \quad + \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)} \left(p(p-1)N_k^{p-2}(r)N_k'(r)^2 + pN_k^{p-1}(r)N_k''(r) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + p(p-1)r^{p-2} \sum_{k \in vs(y) \setminus vs(x)} \sigma^p(y(k)) \right) dr
\end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \geq \beta$. It follows that τ' is absolutely continuous and that (2) holds. With the aid of Fubini's theorem, it also follows that (1) holds.

Since $\tau(\alpha) = 0$ either for all α or for at most one $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that N' and N'' exist almost everywhere and hence (3) and (4) hold for almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

4.1 The unmixed case

If X reflects disjointness and $p \in (2, \infty)$, then the following assertion is true for $\ell_p(X)$.

Proposition 4.2. *If $p \in (2, \infty)$ and X is a C^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the first and second derivatives of the norm on X are uniformly bounded on S_X , then $\ell_p(X)$ reflects disjointness.*

Proof. Let σ denote the norm on X and let $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$ with $x \notin \text{span}\{y\}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define $N_k(\alpha) = \sigma(x(k) + \alpha y(k))$ and $\tau(\alpha) = N^p(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k^p(\alpha)$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that both N and τ are continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} . As N is a convex function, the second derivative $N''(\alpha)$ exists almost everywhere and the first condition in Definition 3.1 is satisfied.

Due to Lemma 4.1, there exists a subset A of $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure 1 such that (1)–(4) hold for all $\alpha \in A$. Now assume that $N'(0) = 0$ and that $N''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. As N' is continuous near 0, it follows that $\tau'(0) = 0$ and $\tau''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. Since each term in the sums in (2) is nonnegative we deduce for each $k \in \text{vs}(x) \cap \text{vs}(y)$, that $N_k''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure and the continuity of N_k' implies that $N_k'(0) = 0$. As X reflects disjointness, we find that $x(k)$ and $y(k)$ are disjoint in X for all $k \in \text{vs}(x) \cap \text{vs}(y)$. Thus, x and y are disjoint in $\ell_p(X)$ and hence the second condition in Definition 3.1 is satisfied.

To prove the third condition, we assume that x and y are disjoint and y is simple. As y is simple, the sums in (1) and (2) consist of finitely many terms. Since $p > 2$ and X reflects disjointness, we find that $\tau'(0) = 0$ and $\tau''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. By subsequently using (3) and (4), we see that $N'(0) = 0$ and $N''(\alpha)$ also converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure, and we are done. \square

A combination of Proposition 4.2 with Theorems 2.2 and 3.4 immediately gives the following corollary.

Theorem 4.3. *If $p \in (2, \infty)$ and X is a C^2 smooth Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the first and second derivatives of the norm on X are uniformly bounded on S_X , then every contractive projection on $\ell_p(X)$ is an averaging operator and its range admits a block basis.*

It is well-known that for each $q > 2$ the space ℓ_q is C^2 smooth and the first and second derivatives of the norm are uniformly bounded on S_X (see

e.g. [6, Chapter V]). Furthermore, since \mathbb{R} reflects disjointness, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that ℓ_q reflects disjointness, if $q > 2$. Therefore we have the following result.

Corollary 4.4. *If $p, q \in (2, \infty)$ or $p, q \in (1, 2)$, then the range of every contractive projection on $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ has a block basis.*

Proof. The case p and q in $(2, \infty)$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.3. For $p, q \in (1, 2)$ the assertion follows from the fact that the dual of $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ is equal to $\ell_{p^*}(\ell_{q^*})$, where $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$ and $1/q + 1/q^* = 1$. \square

4.2 The mixed case

In the mixed case the space $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ is not C^2 smooth and it does not reflect disjointness. Therefore we can not apply Theorem 3.4 to show that every contractive projection is an averaging operator. Instead of using Theorem 3.4 we show that every contractive projection on $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ is semi-band preserving. The argument is quite involved and split up into several steps. We begin by proving the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *If $p \in (1, 2)$ and X is a C^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the derivative of the norm σ on X is uniformly bounded on S_X , then for each $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$ with $x \notin \text{span}\{y\}$ we have that*

- (a) *the function $N(\alpha) = \|x + \alpha y\|$ is continuously differentiable and $N''(\alpha)$ exists almost everywhere;*
- (b) *if $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$, $N'(0) = 0$, and $N''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure, then x and y are disjoint;*
- (c) *if x and y are disjoint, y is simple, and $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$, then $N'(0) = 0$ and $N''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure;*
- (d) *if the second derivative of the norm of X is uniformly bounded on S_X , if $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$, and $2\sigma(y(k)) < \sigma(x(k))$ for all $k \in vs(x)$, then there exists $A \subset [0, 1]$ of full measure and $C > 0$ such that $N''(\alpha) \leq C$ for all $\alpha \in A$;*
- (e) *if $vs(y) \not\subset vs(x)$, then $N''(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure.*

Proof. Let σ denote the norm on X . As in the proof of Proposition 4.2 we define $N_k(\alpha) = \sigma(x(k) + \alpha y(k))$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau(\alpha) = N^p(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k^p(\alpha)$. By Theorem 2.2 both τ and N are continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} . Moreover, N'' exists almost everywhere, as N is a convex function and hence part (a) is satisfied.

Next, note that equations (1)–(4) hold for almost every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$, $N'(0) = 0$, and $N''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. Clearly the second sums in (1) and (2) are zero in that case. As each term in the first sum of (1) and (2) is nonnegative, we conclude from (3) and (4) that $N'_k(0) = 0$, and $N''_k(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure for each $k \in vs(x) \cap vs(y)$. Since X reflects disjointness and $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$, it follows that x and y are disjoint, which proves part (b).

To prove (c), note that if x and y are disjoint, y is simple and $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$, then for each $k \in vs(y)$ we have that $N'_k(0) = 0$ and $N''_k(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. As y is simple, the sums in (1) and (2) consist of finitely many terms, so that $\tau'(0) = 0$ and $\tau''(\alpha)$ converges to 0, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, along a subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. The assertion now follows from equations (3) and (4).

To prove (d) assume that $vs(y) \subset vs(x)$. Then the second sum in (2) vanishes. For each $k \in vs(x)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have that

$$N_k(\alpha) \geq \sigma(x(k)) - \alpha\sigma(y(k)) > (1 - \alpha/2)\sigma(x(k)) > \sigma(x(k))/2$$

and

$$N'_k(\alpha) = (x(k) + \alpha y(k))^* y(k) \leq \sigma(y(k)) \leq \sigma(x(k))/2,$$

where $(x(k) + \alpha y(k))^*$ denotes the norming functional of $x(k) + \alpha y(k)$. This implies that τ' is bounded on the set A of those $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ for which (1)–(4) hold.

Since $D\sigma$ and $D^2\sigma$ are uniformly bounded on $S_X = \{x \in X : \sigma(x) = 1\}$, there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|D\sigma(z)\| \leq c$ and $\|\sigma(z)D^2\sigma(z)\| \leq c$ for all $z \in X \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |p(p-1)N_k^{p-2}(\alpha)N'_k(\alpha)^2 + pN_k^{p-1}(\alpha)N''_k(\alpha)| \\ & \leq p(p-1)(\sigma(x(k) + \alpha y(k))^{p-2}c^2\sigma(y(k))^2) \\ & \quad + p(\sigma(x(k) + \alpha y(k))^{p-2}c\sigma(y(k))^2) \\ & \leq \frac{p(p-1)}{2}\sigma(x(k))^{p-2}c^2\sigma(x(k))^2 + \frac{p}{2}\sigma(x(k))^{p-2}c\sigma(x(k))^2 \\ & \leq C\sigma(x(k))^p, \end{aligned}$$

as $p < 2$. Therefore τ'' is also bounded on A . It is now straightforward to deduce from (3) and (4) that N'' is bounded on A .

Finally to prove (e) we assume that $vs(y) \not\subset vs(x)$. In that case the second sum in (2) becomes unbounded, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. As every term in the first sum of (2) is nonnegative, we conclude that $\tau''(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, along a subset of $[0, 1]$ on which $N''_k(\alpha)$ exists for all $k \in vs(y)$. This subset of $[0, 1]$ may be chosen such that it has full measure, as $vs(y)$ is countable. By using (4) we deduce (e). \square

To prove that a contractive projection on $\ell_p(X)$, with $p \in (1, 2)$, is semi-band preserving, we need to show that Px and Py are disjoint, whenever Px and y , with y simple, are disjoint. To establish this, it is convenient to write $y = y^1 + y^2 + y^3$ with each y^i disjoint from Px and where $vs(y^1) \subset vs(Px)$, $vs(y^2) \cap vs(Pz) = \emptyset$ for all $z \in \ell_p(X)$, and $vs(y^3) \cap vs(Px) = \emptyset$, but $vs(y^3) \subset vs(Pz)$ for some $z \in \ell_p(X)$. The idea is to prove disjointness of Py^i and Px for $i = 1, 2$ and 3 separately. Let us start by analysing y^1 .

Lemma 4.6. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$ and X be a C^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the second derivative of the norm on X is uniformly bounded on S_X . If $P: \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \ell_p(X)$ is a contractive projection, then for each $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$, with $vs(y) \subset vs(Px)$ such that either y simple or $\sigma(y(k)) \leq \sigma(Px(k))$ for all k , we have that $vs(Py) \subset vs(Px)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$ with $Px \neq 0$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\varphi(\alpha) = \|Px + \alpha Py\|$ and $\psi(\alpha) = \|Px + \alpha y\|$. As P is a contractive projection, $\varphi(\alpha) \leq \psi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$. In both cases we can rescale y such that $2\sigma(y(k)) < \sigma(Px(k))$ for all $k \in vs(Px)$. Since $vs(y) \subset vs(Px)$, we know by Proposition 4.5(d) that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\{\alpha \in [0, 1]: \psi''(\alpha) \text{ exists and } \psi''(\alpha) \leq C\}$$

has full measure in $[0, 1]$. Hence it follows from Lemma 3.5(c) that $\varphi''(\alpha)$ does not go to infinity, as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along any subset of $[0, 1]$ of full measure. By using Proposition 4.5(e) we conclude that $vs(Py) \subset vs(Px)$. \square

This lemma has the following consequence.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$ and X be a C^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the second derivative of the norm on X is uniformly bounded on S_X . If $P: \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \ell_p(X)$ is a contractive projection and $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$, with y simple, are such that y and Px are disjoint and $vs(y) \subset vs(Px)$, then Py and Px are disjoint.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\varphi(\alpha) = \|Px + \alpha Py\|$ and $\psi(\alpha) = \|Px + \alpha y\|$. As P is a contractive projection, $\varphi(\alpha) \leq \psi(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi(0) = \psi(0)$. We may assume that $Px \neq 0$. Due to Lemma 4.1 and (3), φ and ψ are C^1 and φ' and ψ' are absolutely continuous functions on a neighborhood of 0. As y and Px are disjoint, y is simple, and $vs(y) \subset vs(Px)$, Proposition 4.5(c) yields that $\psi'(0) = 0$ and $\psi''(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a full subset A of $[0, 1]$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a measurable set $E \subset [0, 1]$ such that $E \cap (0, \delta)$ has positive measure for all $\delta > 0$ and such that $0 \leq \varphi''(\alpha) \leq \psi''(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in E$. We may intersect E with the full set A and thus assume that $E \subset A$. Then $\varphi''(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along E . Applying (2) to Px and Py instead of x and y gives $vs(Py) \subset vs(Px)$ and for each $k \in vs(Px) \cap vs(Py)$ we have that

$$N_k^{p-1}(\alpha)N_k''(\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ along } E.$$

Therefore, for $k \in vs(Py)$, $N_k''(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ along E and hence $N_k''(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ along a full subset of $[0, 1]$, as N_k'' is continuous near 0. Lemma 3.5 further yields that $\varphi'(0) = 0$. From (1) it follows that $0 \leq N_k^{p-1}(\alpha)N_k'(\alpha) \leq \varphi'(\alpha)$ for almost every α and each $k \in vs(Py)$, so that the continuity of φ' and N_k' gives $N_k'(0) = 0$. Since X reflects disjointness, we obtain that $Px(k)$ and $Py(k)$ are disjoint for all $k \in vs(Py)$, and hence Px and Py are disjoint. \square

Lemma 4.7 shows that Py^1 and Px are disjoint. To prove disjointness for y^2 , we shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$ and X be a Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis. If $P: \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \ell_p(X)$ is a contractive projection and $y \in \ell_p(X)$, with $vs(y) \cap vs(Py) = \emptyset$ disjoint, then $Py = 0$.*

Proof. Since $vs(y) \cap vs(Py) = \emptyset$, we have that $\|Py + \alpha y\|^p = \|Py\|^p + \alpha^p \|y\|^p$ and $\|Py + \alpha Py\|^p = (1 + \alpha)^p \|Py\|^p$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. As P is a contractive projection, we deduce that $(1 + \alpha)^p \|Py\|^p \leq \|Py\|^p + \alpha^p \|y\|^p$, so that

$$\frac{\|Py\|^p}{\|y\|^p} \leq \frac{\alpha^p}{(1 + \alpha)^p - 1} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Now note that as $p > 1$,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha^p}{(1 + \alpha)^p - 1} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{p\alpha^{p-1}}{p(1 + \alpha)^{p-1}} = 0$$

and hence $\|Py\| = 0$. \square

To prove disjointness for y^3 we need the following result.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$ and X be a C^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the derivative of the norm on X is uniformly bounded on S_X . If $P: \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \ell_p(X)$ is a contractive projection and $x, y, z \in \ell_p(X)$, with y simple, are such that $vs(y) \subset vs(Pz)$ and $vs(y) \cap vs(Px) = \emptyset$, then there exists $z' \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $vs(y) \subset vs(Pz')$ and $vs(Pz') \cap vs(Px) = \emptyset$.*

Before proving this lemma we give an auxiliary result.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $p \in (1, 2)$ and X be a C^2 smooth Banach space, with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the derivative of the norm on X is uniformly bounded on S_X . Suppose that $P: \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \ell_p(X)$ is a contractive projection and denote*

$$\Sigma_P = \{A \subset \mathbb{N}: vs(Pu) = A \text{ for some } u \in \ell_p(X)\}.$$

Then the following assertions are true:

- (a) *If $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma_P$ and $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$, then $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i \in \Sigma_P$.*
- (b) *If $A, B \in \Sigma_P$ and $a \in A \setminus B$, then there exists $D_a \in \Sigma_P$ such that $a \in D_a$ and $D_a \subset A \setminus B$.*

Proof. Let $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma_P$ be such that $A_i \supset A_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition there exist $u^i \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $vs(Pu^i) = A_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $A = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ and let $w = (Pu^1)\chi_A$, where χ_A is the indicator function of A . Clearly, $vs(w) = A \subset vs(Pu^i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, Lemma 4.6 implies that $vs(Pw) \subset vs(Pu^i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $vs(Pw) \subset A$. Put $B = vs(Pw)$ and remark that $(Pw)\chi_{A_1 \setminus B} = 0$. Moreover,

$$Pu^1 = (Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A} + (Pu^1)\chi_A = (Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A} + w,$$

so that $Pu^1 = P^2u^1 = P((Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A}) + Pw$. Therefore

$$(Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus B} = P((Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A})\chi_{A_1 \setminus B} + (Pw)\chi_{A_1 \setminus B} = P((Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A})\chi_{A_1 \setminus B}.$$

As P is contractive, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus B}\| &= \|P((Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A})\chi_{A_1 \setminus B}\| \\ &\leq \|P((Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A})\| \\ &\leq \|(Pu^1)\chi_{A_1 \setminus A}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $vs(Pu^1) = A_1$ and $B \subset A$, we conclude that $A = B = vs(Pw)$ and hence $A \in \Sigma_P$.

To prove the second assertion let $A, B \in \Sigma_P$ and $a \in A \setminus B$. If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we can take $D_a = A$. So, suppose that $A \cap B = B_0$ is not empty. By the first assertion, $B_0 \in \Sigma$. Now let $u \in \ell_p(X)$ be in the range of P and $vs(u) = A$. It follows from Lemma 4.6 that

$$vs(P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})) \subset A \quad \text{and} \quad vs(P(u\chi_{B_0})) \subset B_0.$$

But also, $P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0}) + P(u\chi_{B_0}) = Pu = u$, so that

$$P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})\chi_{A \setminus B_0} = u\chi_{A \setminus B_0}. \quad (8)$$

As

$$P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0}) = P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})\chi_{A \setminus B_0} + P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})\chi_{B_0}$$

and $\|P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})\| \leq \|u\chi_{A \setminus B_0}\|$, it follows from (8) that $\|P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})\chi_{B_0}\| = 0$. Thus, $P(u\chi_{A \setminus B_0})\chi_{A \setminus B_0} = Pu\chi_{A \setminus B_0}$ and we can take $D_a = A \setminus B_0$. \square

Using this lemma it is now straightforward to prove Lemma 4.9.

Proof of Lemma 4.9. Let y be simple and for each $k \in vs(y)$, let D_k be a set in Σ_P given in Lemma 4.10(b), where $A = vs(Pz)$ and $B = vs(Px)$. Put $D = \cup_{k \in vs(y)} D_k$ and note that, as $vs(y)$ is finite, there exists $z' \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $vs(Pz') = D$ and this completes the proof. \square

A combination of the lemmas now yields the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11. *If $p \in (1, 2)$ and X is a C^2 smooth Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis, such that X reflects disjointness and the second derivative of the norm on X is uniformly bounded on S_X , then every contractive projection on $\ell_p(X)$ is an averaging operator and its range admits a block basis.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in \ell_p(X)$, with y simple, be such that Px and y are disjoint. Write $y = y^1 + y^2 + y^3$ with each y^i simple and disjoint from Px . Moreover assume that $vs(y^1) \subset vs(Px)$, $vs(y^2) \cap vs(Pw) = \emptyset$ for all $w \in \ell_p(X)$, and $vs(y^3) \subset vs(Pz)$ for some $z \in \ell_p(X)$, with $vs(Pz) \cap vs(Px) = \emptyset$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.7 that $P y^1$ and Px are disjoint. Moreover, Lemma 4.8 implies that $P y^2 = 0$ and hence $P y^2$ and Px are disjoint. For y^3 we find by Lemma 4.9 that there exists $z' \in \ell_p(X)$ such that $vs(y^3) \subset vs(Pz')$ and $vs(Pz') \cap vs(Px) = \emptyset$. In addition, it follows from Lemma 4.6 that $vs(P y^3) \subset vs(Pz')$, so that $P y^3$ and Px are disjoint. \square

Theorem 4.11 has the following consequence for $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ spaces.

Corollary 4.12. *If $p \in (1, 2)$ and $q \in (2, \infty)$, or, the other way around, then the range of every contractive projection on $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ has a block basis.*

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.11, the fact that the dual of $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ is equal to $\ell_{p^*}(\ell_{q^*})$, where $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$ and $1/q + 1/q^* = 1$, and the fact that ℓ_r reflects disjointness if $r > 2$. \square

5 Conclusions

Combining Corollaries 4.4 and 4.12 with the results from [19, Section 5] yields the following characterization of 1-complemented subspaces of $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ spaces.

Theorem 5.1. *If $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, with $p, q \neq 2$, and Y is a subspace of $\ell_p(\ell_q)$, then Y is the range of a contractive projection on $\ell_p(\ell_q)$ if and only if there exists a basis $\{y^i\}_{i=1}^{\dim Y}$ for Y such that for each $i \neq j$ either $vs(y^i) \cap vs(y^j) = \emptyset$ or $vs(y^i) = vs(y^j)$ and in that case $vs(y^i(k))$ and $vs(y^j(k))$ are disjoint and $\|y^i(k)\|_q = \|y^j(k)\|_q$ for all $k \in vs(y^i)$.*

Of course, it would be interesting to see if this theorem can be extended to general vector-valued $L_p(L_q)$ spaces.

Concerning Theorem 3.4, we like to remark that if X is a Banach sequence space with norm, $\|x\| = \|x\|_p + \|x\|_q$, and $p, q > 2$, then X reflects disjointness and hence every contractive projection on X is an averaging operator and its range has a block basis. However, the theorem can not be applied if $p = 2$ and $q > 2$. Nevertheless we believe that the same assertion is true, but, as yet, we can not prove it. In connection with this problem it is worth mentioning a general conjecture of Randrianantoanina [20, Conjecture 7.9], which asserts that if X is a strictly monotone Banach sequence space, with a 1-unconditional basis, and X does not contain an isometric copy of a Euclidean plane, then the range of every contractive projection on X admits a block basis. It is known [19] that this conjecture is true in complex Banach spaces.

References

- [1] T. Ando, Contractive projections in L_p spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **17** (1966), 391–405.
- [2] S.J. Bernau and H.E. Lacey, The range of a contractive projection on an L_p -space. *Pacific J. Math.* **53** (1974), 21–41.

- [3] F. Bohnenblust, Subspaces of $\ell_{p,n}$ spaces. *Amer. J. Math.* **63** (1941), 64–72.
- [4] B. Bru, H. Heinich, J-C. Lootgieter, Itération des applications de dualité dans les espaces d'Orlicz. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **303**(15) (1986), 745–747.
- [5] K.W. Cheney and K.H. Price, Minimal projections. *1970 Approximation Theory (Proc. Sympos., Lancaster, 1969)* 261–289, Academic Press, London.
- [6] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler, *Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces*, volume 64 of *Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1993.
- [7] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr. *Vector measures*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis, Mathematical Surveys, No. 15.
- [8] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of modern analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. X, Academic Press, New York-London 1960.
- [9] R.G. Douglas, Contractive projections on an L_1 -space. *Pacific J. Math.* **15** (1965), 443–462.
- [10] I. Doust, Contractive projections on Lebesgue-Bochner spaces. *Function spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1994)*, 101–109, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **172**, Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [11] J. Jamison and A. Kamińska and G. Lewicki, One-complemented subspaces of Musielak-Orlicz spaces. *J. Approx. Theory* **130**(1) (2004), 1–37.
- [12] N.J. Kalton and G.V. Wood, Orthonormal systems in Banach spaces and their applications. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **79**(3) (1976), 493–510.
- [13] S. Kakutani, Some characterizations of Euclidean spaces. *Jap. J. Math.* **16** (1940), 93–97.
- [14] A. L. Koldobsky, Isometries of $L_p(X; L_q)$ and equimeasurability. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **40**(2) (1991), 677–705.

- [15] B. Lemmens and O. W. van Gaans, On one-complemented subspaces of Minkowski spaces with smooth Riesz norms. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, to appear.
- [16] I.E. Leonard and K. Sundaresan, Geometry of Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces – smoothness. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **198** (1974), 229–251.
- [17] D.R. Lewis, Ellipsoids defined by Banach ideal norms. *Mathematika* **26**(1) (1979), 18–29.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I. Sequence Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [19] B. Randrianantoanina, 1-complemented subspaces of spaces with 1-unconditional bases. *Canad. J. Math.* **49**(6) (1997), 1242–1264.
- [20] B. Randrianantoanina, Norm-one projections in Banach spaces. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **5**(1) (2001), 35–95.
- [21] B. Randrianantoanina, Contractive projections in Orlicz sequence spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2004**(2) (2004), 133–145.
- [22] B. Randrianantoanina, A disjointness type property of conditional expectation operators. *Colloq. Math.* **102** (2005), 9–20.
- [23] Y. Raynaud, The range of a contractive projection in $L_p(H)$. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **17**(2) (2004), 485–512.
- [24] K. Sundaresan, Smooth Banach spaces. *Math. Ann.* **173** (1967), 191–199.
- [25] K. Sundaresan and S. Swaminathan, *Geometry and nonlinear analysis in Banach spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1131**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [26] L. Tzafriri, Remarks on contractive projections in L_p -spaces. *Israel J. Math.* **7** (1969), 9–15.

Bas Lemmens
 Mathematics Institute
 University of Warwick
 CV4 7AL Coventry
 United Kingdom
 lemmens@maths.warwick.ac.uk

Beata Randrianantoanina
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Miami University
 Oxford, OH 45056
 U.S.A.
 randrib@muohio.edu

Onno van Gaans
Mathematical Insitute
Leiden University
P.O. Box 9512
2300 RA Leiden
The Netherlands
vangaans@math.leidenuniv.nl