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## 1. Main properties of the Toda Lattice

$\triangleright$ Equations of motion of the (finite-dimensional) Toda Lattice (TL) read:

$$
\ddot{x}_{k}=e^{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}-e^{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N,
$$

with $x_{0}=\infty, x_{N+1}=-\infty$ (open-end boundary conditions) or $x_{0}=x_{N}, x_{N+1}=x_{1}$ (periodic boundary conditions).
$\triangleright$ If one introduces the variables $b_{k}=\dot{x}_{k}, a_{k}=e^{x_{k+1}-x_{k}}$, then TL is governed by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{b}_{k}=a_{k}-a_{k-1},  \tag{1}\\
\dot{a}_{k}=a_{k}\left(b_{k+1}-b_{k}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $a_{0}=a_{N}=0$ (open-end boundary conditions) or $a_{0}=a_{N}, b_{N+1}=b_{1}$ (periodic boundary conditions).
$\triangleright$ The phase-space of TL is

$$
\mathcal{T}:=\mathbb{R}^{2 N}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{N}\right)
$$

in the periodic case, and

$$
\mathcal{T}_{0}:=\mathbb{R}^{2 N-1}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N-1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{N}\right)=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathcal{T}: a_{N}=0\right\},
$$

in the open-end case.
$\triangleright$ TL is tri-Hamiltonian: there exist three Hamiltonian formulations w.r.t. three independent but compatible Poisson brackets. In particular, the first two Hamiltonian formulations are:
(1) TL is Hamiltonian on the Poisson manifold $\left(\mathcal{T},\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}\right)$ (on $\left(\mathcal{T}_{0},\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}\right)$ in the openend case) with the Hamiltonian function

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{k}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k},
$$

where the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{b_{k}, a_{k}\right\}_{1}=-a_{k}, \quad\left\{a_{k}, b_{k+1}\right\}_{1}=-a_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) TL is Hamiltonian on the Poisson manifold $\left(\mathcal{T},\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{2}\right)$ (on $\left(\mathcal{T}_{0},\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{2}\right)$ in the openend case) with the Hamiltonian function

$$
H=\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{k},
$$

where the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{2}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{b_{k}, a_{k}\right\}_{2}=-b_{k} a_{k}, & \left\{a_{k}, b_{k+1}\right\}_{2}=-a_{k} b_{k+1} \\
\left\{b_{k}, b_{k+1}\right\}_{2}=-a_{k}, & \left\{a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right\}_{2}=-a_{k} a_{k+1} \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

Exercise 1. Prove that the functions

$$
C_{1}=\prod_{k=1}^{N} a_{k}, \quad C_{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{k},
$$

are two polynomial Casimir functions of $\left(\mathcal{T},\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}\right)$.
$\qquad$ $\star$ $\qquad$
$\triangleright$ TL has several Lax representations and it admits an $r$-matrix structure. A Lax representation of TL is:

$$
\dot{L}(\lambda)=[L(\lambda), B(\lambda)]=-[L(\lambda), A(\lambda)], \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\lambda)=\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} E_{k, k+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{k} E_{k, k}+\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{N} E_{k+1, k}, \\
& B(\lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{k} E_{k, k}+\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{N} E_{k+1, k}, \\
& A(\lambda)=\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} E_{k, k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the matrices $E_{j, k},\left(E_{i, j}\right)_{k, \ell}=\delta_{i k} \delta_{j \ell}$, form a basis of $\mathfrak{g l}(N)$. We set $E_{N+1, N}=E_{1, N}$, $E_{N, N+1}=E_{N, 1}$ in the periodic case and $E_{N+1, N}=E_{N, N+1}=0$ and $\lambda=1$ in the open-end case.

Spectral invariants of the Lax matrix $L(\lambda)$ serve as integrals of motion of TL. All spectral invariants are in involution w.r.t. the brackets (2) and (3,4).
$\triangleright$ As every multi-Hamiltonian and completely integrable system, TL belongs to a whole integrable hierarchy. Each flow of this hierarchy may be solved by means of a matrix factorization (finite-dimensional analog of the inverse scattering method). This is the ground for TL do deliver a transparent model for the integrable discretization problem. The resulting discretization shares invariant Poisson structures and integrals of motion with TL. In short, it belongs to the hierarchy attached to TL.

## 2. An integrable discretization of the Toda Lattice

$\triangleright$ The discrete Toda lattice (dTL) is governed by the following discrete Lax equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{1}+\epsilon \widetilde{L}(\lambda)=B^{-1}(\lambda ; \epsilon)(\mathbb{1}+\epsilon L(\lambda)) B(\lambda ; \epsilon)=A(\lambda ; \epsilon)(\mathbb{1}+\epsilon L(\lambda)) A^{-1}(\lambda ; \epsilon), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(\lambda ; \epsilon)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \beta_{k} E_{k, k}+\epsilon \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{N} E_{k+1, k}, \\
& A(\lambda ; \epsilon)=\mathbb{1}+\epsilon \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_{k} E_{k, k+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Tilde denotes the shift $t \mapsto t+\epsilon$ in the discrete time $\epsilon \mathbb{Z} ; \epsilon$ is a time step.
- The coefficients $\beta_{k}$ and $\alpha_{k}$ are uniquely defined, for $\epsilon$ small enough, by the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{k}+\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{k-1}=1+\epsilon b_{k}  \tag{6}\\
\beta_{k} \alpha_{k}=a_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have: $\beta_{k}=1+\epsilon b_{k}+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$.

Remark 1. In the open-end case system (6) is uniquely solvable not only for small $\epsilon$. Indeed, one obtains explicit expressions in terms of continued fractions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1}=1+\epsilon b_{1}, \\
& \beta_{2}=1+\epsilon b_{2}-\frac{\epsilon^{2} a_{1}}{1+\epsilon b_{1}}, \\
& \ldots \\
& \beta_{N}=1+\epsilon b_{N}-\frac{\epsilon^{2} a_{N-1}}{1+\epsilon b_{N-1}-\frac{\epsilon^{2} a_{N-2}}{1+\epsilon b_{N-2}-\quad \ddots}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2. The Lax equation (5) is equivalent the following map $(a, b) \mapsto(\widetilde{a}, \widetilde{b})$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{b}_{k}=b_{k}+\epsilon\left(\frac{a_{k}}{\beta_{k}}-\frac{a_{k-1}}{\beta_{k-1}}\right),  \tag{7}\\
\widetilde{a}_{k}=a_{k} \frac{\beta_{k+1}}{\beta_{k}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 3. Localizing changes of variables

$\triangleright$ dTL (7), when compared with its continuous limit TL (1), has one unpleasent property: equations are non-local because of the coefficients $\beta_{k}$ and $\alpha_{k}$. The functions $\beta_{j}$ depend (implicitly) on all $a_{j}, b_{j}$ in the periodic case and (explicitly) on all $a_{j}$ with $j<k$ and all $b_{j}$ with $j \leq k$ in the open-end case.
$\triangleright$ A way to solve this drawback is the notion of localizing changes of variables.

- Consider a lattice system with local interactions between neighboring variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{k}=f_{k \bmod m}(x)=f\left(x_{k}, x_{k \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{k \pm s}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is the locality radius and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is the number of fields of the lattice system. Note that TL (1) has $(s, m)=(1,2)$.

- Suppose to have integrable difference equations (discretizing (8)) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{x}_{k}=x_{k}+\epsilon \Phi_{k}(x ; \epsilon), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{k}$ depends on all $x_{j}$.

- Problem: To find changes of variables $X \mapsto x$ which are close to the identity and such that equations (9) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{X}_{k}=X_{k}+\epsilon \Psi_{k \bmod m}(X, \widetilde{X} ; \epsilon), \quad \Psi_{k \bmod m}(X, \widetilde{X} ; 0)=f_{k \bmod m}(x), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{k}$ depends only on $X_{j}, \widetilde{X}_{j}$ with $|j-k| \leq s$. Such implicit local equations are much better suited for the purposes of numerical simulation.

- It is by no means evident that such localizing variables exist. They are usually defined by the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=X_{k}+\epsilon F_{k \bmod m}(X ; \epsilon) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with local functions $F_{k}$ depending only on $X_{j}$ with $|j-k| \leq s$. The inverse change of variable $x \mapsto X$ is always described by non-local formulas.
Remark 3. Nothing guarantees a priori that the pull-back of (8) under the change of variables (11) will be given by local formulas. Nevertheless it often turns out to be the case. This is a way to produce new one-parameter families of integrable deformations (or modifications) of (8). See Exercise 4.

Nothing guarantees a priori that pull-backs of local Poisson structures under the change of variables (11) are also given by local formulas. In the multi-Hamiltonian cases it often turns out that pull-backs of certain linear combinations of invariant Poisson brackets are local again. See Exercise 3.

## 4. Localizing changes of variables for the Toda lattice

$\triangleright$ The localizing change of variables for dTL (7) is given by the map $\mathcal{T}(A, B) \mapsto \mathcal{T}(a, b)$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{k}=B_{k}+\epsilon A_{k-1},  \tag{12}\\
a_{k}=A_{k}\left(1+\epsilon B_{k}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 4. Note that formulas (12) coincide with (6) upon the identication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}=1+\epsilon B_{k}, \quad \alpha_{k}=A_{k} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in the coordinates $(A, B)$ the functions $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$ acquire local expressions.
$\qquad$ $\star$ $\qquad$

Exercise 2. Prove that the pull-back of dTL (7) under the change of variables (12) is given by the following local equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{B}_{k}=B_{k}+\epsilon\left(A_{k}-\widetilde{A}_{k-1}\right), \\
\widetilde{A}_{k}\left(1+\epsilon \widetilde{B}_{k}\right)=A_{k}\left(1+\epsilon B_{k+1}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\qquad$ $\star$ $\qquad$

## Exercise 3.

(1) Prove that the change of variables (12) is Poisson w.r.t. the brackets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{B_{k}, A_{k}\right\}=-A_{k}\left(1+\epsilon B_{k}\right), \quad\left\{A_{k}, B_{k+1}\right\}=-A_{k}\left(1+\epsilon B_{k+1}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the space $\mathcal{T}(a, b)$ is equipped with the bracket

$$
\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}+\epsilon\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{2},
$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{2}$ are defined in (2) and (3,4).
(2) Consider the pull-backs under the change of variables (12) of the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}$ (instead of the combination $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{1}+\epsilon\{\cdot, \cdot\}_{2}$ ). Verify that in this case pullbacks are described by highly non-local and non-polynomials formulas.

Hint: Calculate the Poisson brackets in the following natural order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{B_{1}, A_{1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\},\left\{A_{1}, B_{2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{B_{1}, A_{2}\right\},\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\},\left\{B_{2}, A_{2}\right\}, \\
& \left\{B_{1}, B_{3}\right\},\left\{A_{1}, B_{3}\right\},\left\{B_{2}, B_{3}\right\},\left\{A_{2}, B_{3}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case (1) expressions for the pairwise Poisson brackets of the quantities $(A, B)$ quickly stabilize to (14).
$\qquad$ $\star$ $\qquad$
Exercise 4. Prove that the pull-back of TL (1) under the change of variables (12) gives the following modified TL:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{B}_{k}=\left(1+\epsilon B_{k}\right)\left(A_{k}-A_{k-1}\right), \\
\dot{A}_{k}=A_{k}\left(B_{k+1}-B_{k}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hint: The above equations are Hamiltonian w.r.t. the brackets (14) with Hamiltonian function

$$
H=\epsilon^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(B_{j}+\epsilon A_{j-1}\right) .
$$

$\qquad$ * $\qquad$

